## Revised on 2023-11-14

## 1 Chapter 2: Regular Surfaces.

Remark 1.1 You may have to read the Appendix of this chapter in p. 120 first, if you have forgotten some stuff in Advanced Calculus.

### 1.1 The First Fundamental Form; Area (this is Section 2-5 of the textbook).

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a regular surface. The natural inner product of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ induces on each tangent plane $T_{p} S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ an inner product, denoted as $\langle,\rangle_{p}$. For any $w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p} S$, then $\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}\right\rangle_{p}$ is equal to the inner product of $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ viewed as vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Definition 1.2 The map

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}(w)=\langle w, w\rangle_{p}=|w|^{2}: T_{p} S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the first fundamental form of the regular surface $S$ at $p \in S$. In Linear Algebra terminology, $I_{p}(w)$ is called a quadratic form on the tangent space $T_{p} S$.

Remark 1.3 The first fundamental form is the expression of how the surface $S$ inherits the natural inner product of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. One can use it to measure the length of curves on $S$ or the area of a region on $S$.

Definition 1.4 If $\mathbf{x}(u, v): U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a local parametrization at $p=\mathbf{x}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in S$ with basis $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\}$, then the following three functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle_{p}, \quad F\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle_{p}, \quad G\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle_{p}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called the coefficients of the first fundamental form in the basis $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\}$ of $T_{p} S$.
Remark 1.5 Since $\mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)$ are differentiable map of $(u, v) \in U$ into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the three functions

$$
E(u, v), \quad F(u, v), \quad G(u, v):(u, v) \in U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

are all differentiable on $U$.
If $\alpha(t)=\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t)):(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow S$ is a differentiable curve with $\alpha(0)=\mathbf{x}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=p$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right) & =\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(0), \alpha^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle_{p} \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) u^{\prime}(0)+\mathbf{x}_{v}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) v^{\prime}(0), \mathbf{x}_{u}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) u^{\prime}(0)+\mathbf{x}_{v}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) v^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle_{p} \\
& =E\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}+2 F\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) u^{\prime}(0) v^{\prime}(0)+G\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\left(v^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2} \\
& =\underbrace{\left(u^{\prime}(0), v^{\prime}(0)\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) & F\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \\
F\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) & G\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right)\binom{u^{\prime}(0)}{v^{\prime}(0)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1.6 The matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) & F\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)  \tag{3}\\
F\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) & G\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

is symmetric and positive definite.

Proof. It is clear that it is symmetric. To show positive definite, it is equivalent to saying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)>0, \quad E\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) G\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)-F^{2}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

((4) will imply that $G\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)>0$ also). This is easy by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.
Remark 1.7 One can also use definition to see that (3) is positive definite since it is the components of an inner product, which is positive definite, or one can see that

$$
(a, b)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) & F\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \\
F\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) & G\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right)\binom{a}{b}>0, \quad \forall(a, b) \neq(0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

Example 1.8 Do Example 1, 2, 3 in p. 95.
We can use the first fundamental form $I$ to answer metric questions on $S$ without further references to the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Let $\alpha(t): 0 \in I \rightarrow S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a curve on $S$. Its arc length parameter $s$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
s(t) & =\int_{0}^{t}\left|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right| d t=\int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{I\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)} d t, \quad \alpha^{\prime}(t)=\mathbf{x}_{u}(u(t), v(t)) u^{\prime}(t)+\mathbf{x}_{v}(u(t), v(t)) v^{\prime}(t) \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{E(u(t), v(t))\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+2 F(u(t), v(t)) u^{\prime}(t) v^{\prime}(t)+G(u(t), v(t))\left(v^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}} d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{E\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 F u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+G\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}} d t, \quad t \in I \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1.9 (Notation.) We usually write (5) as the convenient form

$$
d s^{2}=E d u^{2}+2 F d u d v+G d v^{2}
$$

which means that if $\alpha(t)=\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))$ is a curve on $S$ with arc length $s=s(t)$, then

$$
\left(\frac{d s}{d t}\right)^{2}=E(u(t), v(t))\left(\frac{d u}{d t}\right)^{2}+2 F(u(t), v(t)) \frac{d u}{d t} \frac{d v}{d t}+G(u(t), v(t))\left(\frac{d v}{d t}\right)^{2}
$$

Remark 1.10 If $S$ is the cylinder in Example 2 in $p$. 95, we have $E \equiv 1, F \equiv 0, G \equiv 1$ and then

$$
s(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\left|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right| d t=\int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{I\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)} d t=\int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+\left(v^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}} d t, \quad t \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)
$$

i.e., the length between any two points of $\alpha(t)$ on $S$ is equal to the length of its corresponding two points on $(u(t), v(t)) \in U$. In this case, we say the cylinder is isometric to the open set in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

$$
U=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0<u<2 \pi,-\infty<v<\infty\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

From the viewpoint of "metric geometry", the cylinder is the same as the plane. However, they have different curvature (more precisely, different mean curvature).

With the help of the first fundamental form $I$ on $S$, we can also discuss the angle between two vectors on the same tangent space. In particular, if $\alpha(t): I \rightarrow S$ and $\beta(t): I \rightarrow S$ are two curves on $S$ and they intersect at $t=t_{0}$, their intersection angle $\theta \in[0, \pi]$ between the two curves is defined as

$$
\cos \theta=\frac{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right), \beta^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\rangle}{\left|\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|\left|\beta^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|} \in[-1,1]
$$

In particular, the angle $\varphi$ between the two coordinate curves $\mathbf{x}(\cdot, v), \mathbf{x}(u, \cdot)$ of a parametrization $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ is given by

$$
\cos \varphi=\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}\right|\left|\mathbf{x}_{v}\right|}=\frac{F}{\sqrt{E G}}
$$

Thus the coordinate curves of a parametrization $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ are orthogonal everywhere if and only if $F(u, v)=0$ for all $(u, v)$. Such a parametrization is called an orthogonal parametrization.

Example 1.11 Do Example 4 in p. 98.
Remark 1.12 The following integral formula is for your reference when you read p. 99:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{1}{\sin \theta} d \theta=\int \csc \theta d \theta=\int \frac{\csc ^{2} \theta-\csc \theta \cot \theta}{\csc \theta-\cot \theta} d \theta=\int \frac{\frac{d}{d \theta}(\csc \theta-\cot \theta)}{\csc \theta-\cot \theta} d \theta \\
& =\log |\csc \theta-\cot \theta|=\log \left|\frac{1-\cos \theta}{\sin \theta}\right|=\log \left|\frac{2 \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}}{2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}}\right|=\log \left|\tan \frac{\theta}{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.1.1 Area Formula on a Regular Surface (this is Section 2-5 of the textbook).

Definition 1.13 See p. 99 for the definition of a (regular) domain and region on $S$.
Remark 1.14 Explain the meaning of domain and region on $S$.
Recall that if $a, b$ are two vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, then

$$
|a \wedge b|=\text { area of the parallelogram generated by } a, b
$$

Motivated by this, if $\mathbf{x}: U \rightarrow S$ is a local parametrization and $Q \subset U$ is a compact region with boundary a piecewise smooth simple closed curve, we define the area of $R=\mathbf{x}(Q) \subset S$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(R)=\iint_{Q}\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right| d u d v \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also use $\iint_{R} d \sigma$ to denote the area $A(R)$ of $R \subset S$.
Lemma 1.15 The above definition does not depend on the parametrization $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$.
Proof. Assume we have another parametrization $\overline{\mathbf{x}}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}): \bar{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{x}}(\bar{Q})=\mathbf{x}(Q)=$ $R$, where $\bar{Q} \subset \bar{U}$ is a compact region with boundary a piecewise smooth simple closed curve. Then we have $Q=\mathbf{x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\mathbf{x}}(\bar{Q})$ and due to the change of parameter function $h=\mathbf{x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\mathbf{x}}$ one can express $(u, v) \in Q=h(\bar{Q})$ as a function of $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in \bar{Q}$ (such a relation is a diffeomorphism). We now have the following identities

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(u, v)=h(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=(u(\bar{u}, \bar{v}), v(\bar{u}, \bar{v})) \\
\overline{\mathbf{x}}(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=\mathbf{x} \circ h(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=\mathbf{x}(u(\bar{u}, \bar{v}), v(\bar{u}, \bar{v}))
\end{array}\right.
$$

and by the chain rule

$$
\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{u}}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{u}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{u}} \mathbf{x}_{v}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{v}}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{v}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{v}} \mathbf{x}_{v},
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{u}} \wedge \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{v}}=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{u}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{u}} \mathbf{x}_{v}\right) \wedge\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{v}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{v}} \mathbf{x}_{v}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{u}} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{v}} \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{u}} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{v}}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

More precisely, (7) is the same as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underbrace{\overline{\mathbf{x}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{u}} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{v}} \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{u}} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{v}}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}(u(\bar{u}, \bar{v}), v(\bar{u}, \bar{v})) \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}(u(\bar{u}, \bar{v}), v(\bar{u}, \bar{v}))\right)}_{\bar{u}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \wedge \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{v}}(\bar{u}, \bar{v})} \\
& =\underbrace{\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}(h(\bar{u}, \bar{v})) \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}(h(\bar{u}, \bar{v}))\right) \frac{\partial(u, v)}{\partial(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}}, \quad \forall(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in \bar{Q} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Now by the change of variables formula for multiple integrals (see the book by Marsden "Elementary Classical Analysis, 2nd edition", p. 523), we have (note that $Q=h(\bar{Q})$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{Q}\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v) \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right| d u d v \\
& =\iint_{\bar{Q}}\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}(h(\bar{u}, \bar{v})) \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}(h(\bar{u}, \bar{v}))\right|\left|\frac{\partial(u, v)}{\partial(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}\right| d \bar{u} d \bar{v}=\iint_{\bar{Q}}\left|\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{u}}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \wedge \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{v}}(\bar{u}, \bar{v})\right| d \bar{u} d \bar{v} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{Q}\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right| d u d v=\iint_{\bar{Q}}\left|\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{u}} \wedge \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{\bar{v}}\right| d \bar{u} d \bar{v} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the above definition is independent of the parametrizations we used.

Remark 1.16 The assumption that the domain $R \subset S$ is contained in the image of a single parametrization is not very serious since in most examples there exists a parametrization $\mathbf{x}$ which cover the entire surface except for some curves, which do not contribute to the area.

Remark 1.17 By the identity

$$
\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|^{2}+\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle^{2}=\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}\right|^{2}\left|\mathbf{x}_{v}\right|^{2},
$$

we have

$$
\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|^{2}=\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}\right|^{2}\left|\mathbf{x}_{v}\right|^{2}-\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle^{2},
$$

i.e.

$$
\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|=\sqrt{E G-F^{2}}
$$

Hence we can express $A(R)$ as

$$
A(R)=\iint_{Q} \sqrt{E G-F^{2}} d u d v=A(R)=\iint_{Q} \sqrt{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle & \left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle  \tag{11}\\
\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle & \left\langle\mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right|} d u d v
$$

Example 1.18 Do Example 5 in p. 101.

### 1.2 Gradient on Surfaces (this is Exercise 14 in p. 104, Section 2-5, of the textbook).

Definition 1.19 The gradient of a differentiable function $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad} f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which assigns to each $p \in S$ a vector grad $f(p) \in T_{p} S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that (the following identity is the definition of grad $f(p)$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\operatorname{grad} f(p), v\rangle_{p}=d f_{p}(v) \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in T_{p} S \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By property in linear algebra, the vector grad $f(p)$ satisfying (13) exists and is unique. If there are two vectors $v_{1}, v_{2} \in T_{p} S$ which satisfies

$$
\left\langle v_{1}, v\right\rangle=d f_{p}(v) \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in T_{p} S
$$

and

$$
\left\langle v_{2}, v\right\rangle=d f_{p}(v) \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in T_{p} S
$$

then we must have $v_{1}=v_{2}$. Geometrically, one can view grad $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ as a vector field on $S$. It assigns each $p \in S$ a vector grad $f(p) \in T_{p} S$.

Remark 1.20 For simplicity, we usually use the notation $\nabla_{S} f: S \rightarrow T_{p} S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ to denote the gradient of $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the surface $S$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla_{S} f(p), v\right\rangle=d f_{p}(v) \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in T_{p} S \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\nabla_{S} f$ is a vector field on $S$, it can be expressed as a linear combination of the basis $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\}$. Write

$$
\nabla_{S} f=a \mathbf{x}_{u}+b \mathbf{x}_{v}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{u}=d f_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}\right)=\left\langle\nabla_{S} f, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle=\left\langle a \mathbf{x}_{u}+b \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle=a E+b F, \quad f_{u}=\frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(\mathbf{x}(u, v)) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{v}=d f_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}\right)=\left\langle\nabla_{S} f, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle=\left\langle a \mathbf{x}_{u}+b \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle=a F+b G, \quad f_{u}=\frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(\mathbf{x}(u, v)) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we get the matrix relation

$$
\binom{f_{u}}{f_{v}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)\binom{a}{b} \Longleftrightarrow\binom{a}{b}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\binom{f_{u}}{f_{v}}
$$

and obtain, under a local parametrization $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$, the expression

$$
\binom{a}{b}=\frac{1}{E G-F^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G & -F \\
-F & E
\end{array}\right)\binom{f_{u}}{f_{v}}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{S} f=a \mathbf{x}_{u}+b \mathbf{x}_{v}=\frac{f_{u} G-f_{v} F}{E G-F^{2}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\frac{f_{v} E-f_{u} F}{E G-F^{2}} \mathbf{x}_{v} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we see that $\nabla_{S} f$ is a differentiable function on $(u, v) \in U$. If $S=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with Euclidean coordinates $x, y$, then we have $E=1, F=0, G=1$, and the above becomes

$$
\nabla_{S} f=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}+\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right) \mathbf{e}_{2}
$$

where $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Moreover, for a given regular surface $S$ with orthogonal parametrization $\mathbf{x}(u, v): U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3},(17)$ becomes (now we have $F \equiv 0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{S} f=\frac{f_{u}}{E} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\frac{f_{v}}{G} \mathbf{x}_{v} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $p \in S$ and vary $v$ in the unit circle $|v|=1$ in $T_{p} S$ centered at $p \in S$ (denote this compact set as $S^{1} \subset T_{p} S$, then $d f_{p}(v)=\left\langle\nabla_{S} f(p), v\right\rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ attains its maximum value over $S^{1} \subset T_{p} S$ at

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{\nabla_{S} f(p)}{\left|\nabla_{S} f(p)\right|}, \quad d f_{p}(v)=\left|\nabla_{S} f(p)\right| \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and attains its minimum value over $S^{1} \subset T_{p} S$ at

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=-\frac{\nabla_{S} f(p)}{\left|\nabla_{S} f(p)\right|}, \quad d f_{p}(v)=-\left|\nabla_{S} f(p)\right| \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is clear from (13).

### 1.2.1 Comparing Euclidean Gradient and Surface Gradient.

Assume $f: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function and $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a regular surface. We can restrict $f$ onto $S$ and $\left.f\right|_{S}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also a differentiable function on $S$. For fixed $p \in S$ we have two gradient vectors at $p$, namely $\nabla f(p)$ (a vector in the space $T_{p} \mathbb{R}^{3} \approx \mathbb{R}^{3}$ or one can say it is a vector in the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ) and $\nabla_{S} f(p)$ (a vector in the tangent space $T_{p} S \approx \mathbb{R}^{2}$ ). We have the following important result:

Theorem 1.21 Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function and $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a regular surface. The projection of the vector $\nabla f(p) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ onto $T_{p} S$ is equal to $\nabla_{S} f(p) \in T_{p} S$.

Proof. By the definition of gradient vector, we have the following:

$$
\begin{cases}(1) \cdot\langle\nabla f(p), v\rangle=d f_{p}(v), & \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{21}\\ (2) \cdot\left\langle\nabla_{S} f(p), v\right\rangle=d f_{p}(v), & \forall v \in T_{p} S\end{cases}
$$

If we restrict $v$ onto the subspace $T_{p} S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, then (1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\nabla f(p), v\rangle=d f_{p}(v), \quad \forall v \in T_{p} S . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v \in T_{p} S$ in (22), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\nabla f(p), v\rangle \\
& =\langle(\text { normal part of } \nabla f(p)+\text { tangential part of } \nabla f(p)), v\rangle \\
& =\langle\text { tangential part of } \nabla f(p), v\rangle, \quad \forall v \in T_{p} S . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
d f_{p}(v)=\langle\nabla f(p), v\rangle=\langle\underbrace{\text { tangential part of } \nabla f(p)}, v\rangle, \quad \forall v \in T_{p} S \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (24) and (2) in (21) and uniqueness of gradient vector, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{S} f(p)=\underbrace{\text { tangential part of } \nabla f(p)}=\text { the projection of } \nabla f(p) \text { onto } T_{p} S . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is done.

### 1.3 Stereographic Projection of $S^{2}$ (this is Exercise 16 in p. 69, Section 2-2, of the textbook).

Consider the sphere $S^{2}$ given by

$$
x^{2}+y^{2}+(z-1)^{2}=1 .
$$

Its north pole $N$ has coordinate $(0,0,2)$. For any $(x, y, z) \in S^{2}$, consider the line $L$ joining $N$ and $(x, y, z)$. This line $L$ will intersect the $x y$-plane at a unique point $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. The map $\pi:(x, y, z) \in S^{2} \backslash(0,0,2) \rightarrow(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is called stereographic projection of $S^{2}$. To describe it, it is easier to look at its inverse $\pi^{-1}$. Using comparison between two right triangles, we get (here $\left.(x, y, z) \in S^{2}\right)$

$$
\frac{2-z}{2}=\frac{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}{\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}}}=\frac{\sqrt{1-(z-1)^{2}}}{\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}}}
$$

and let $2-z=\lambda(z=2-\lambda)$ to get

$$
\frac{\lambda}{2}=\frac{\sqrt{2 \lambda-\lambda^{2}}}{\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}}}
$$

This gives $\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+4\right) \lambda^{2}=8 \lambda$ and then

$$
\lambda=\frac{8}{u^{2}+v^{2}+4}, \quad z=2-\lambda=2-\frac{8}{u^{2}+v^{2}+4}=\frac{2\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)}{u^{2}+v^{2}+4}
$$

For $y$, we project these two right triangles onto $y z$-plane to get

$$
\frac{2-z}{2}=\frac{\sqrt{y^{2}}}{\sqrt{v^{2}}}=\frac{y}{v} \quad(\text { if } y>0)
$$

which gives

$$
2-\frac{2\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)}{u^{2}+v^{2}+4}=\frac{2 y}{v}, \quad y=\frac{4 v}{u^{2}+v^{2}+4} .
$$

Similarly, we project these two right triangles onto $x z$-plane to get

$$
\frac{2-z}{2}=\frac{\sqrt{x^{2}}}{\sqrt{u^{2}}}=\frac{x}{u} \quad(\text { if } x>0)
$$

which gives

$$
x=\frac{4 u}{u^{2}+v^{2}+4}:=\frac{4 u}{H}, \quad \text { where } H=u^{2}+v^{2}+4
$$

We conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}(u, v) & :=\pi^{-1}(u, v)=(x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v)) \\
& =\left(\frac{4 u}{u^{2}+v^{2}+4}, \frac{4 v}{u^{2}+v^{2}+4}, \frac{2\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)}{u^{2}+v^{2}+4}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{H}\left(4 u, 4 v, 2\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\right), \quad(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can check that $\mathbf{x}$ is a homeomorphism from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ onto $S^{2}-\{N\}$, differentiable from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u}(u, v)=\frac{1}{H^{2}}\left(-4 u^{2}+4 v^{2}+16,-8 u v, 16 u\right), \quad H=u^{2}+v^{2}+4 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial v}(u, v)=\frac{1}{H^{2}}\left(-8 u v, 4 u^{2}-4 v^{2}+16,16 v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u}(u, v) \wedge \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial v}(u, v) \\
& =\frac{1}{H^{4}}\left(-64 u\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+4\right),-64 v\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+4\right), 16\left[16-\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, we also note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u}(u, v), \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial v}(u, v)\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{H^{4}}\left[\left(-4 u^{2}+4 v^{2}+16\right)(-8 u v)+(-8 u v)\left(4 u^{2}-4 v^{2}+16\right)+(16 u)(16 v)\right] \\
& =0, \quad \forall(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We easily see that $\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u}(u, v) \wedge \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial v}(u, v) \neq(0,0,0)$ for all $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ (because $\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u}(u, v) \perp \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial v}(u, v)$ and both are nonzero vectors). Finally we see that one can choose two stereographic projections to cover the whole $S^{2}$. One misses the north pole and the other misses the south pole.

Finally, we compute the coefficients $E, F, G$ of the first fundamental form. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
E(u, v) & =\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{H^{4}}\left[\left(-4 u^{2}+4 v^{2}+16\right)^{2}+(-8 u v)^{2}+(16 u)^{2}\right]=\frac{16}{H^{2}} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
G(u, v) & =\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{H^{4}}\left[(-8 u v)^{2}+\left(4 u^{2}-4 v^{2}+16\right)^{2}+(16 v)^{2}\right]=\frac{16}{H^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u, v)=\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle=0 . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall see later on that this parametrization $\mathbf{x}(u, v): \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S^{2} \backslash\{(0,0,2)\}$ is a conformal diffeomorphism due to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(u, v)=G(u, v)=\frac{16}{H^{2}}, \quad F(u, v)=0, \quad \forall(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}(\text { domain of } \mathbf{x}) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2 Chapter 3: The Geometry of the Gauss Map.

### 2.1 The Definition of the Gauss Map and its Fundamental Properties (this is Section 3-2 of the book).

### 2.1.1 Orientation of a Regular Surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

We first recall the following fact: Let $\mathbf{x}: U$ (open set) $\subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a parametrization of a regular surface. The vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(q)=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|}(q) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad q \in U \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the unit normal vector field on $\mathbf{x}(U) \subset S$ induced by the parametrization $\mathbf{x}$. Note: $N(q)$ is normal to $S$ at the point $p=\mathbf{x}(q)$ and $N: \mathbf{x}(U) \subset S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a differentiable map on $\mathbf{x}(U)$.

Remark 2.1 Since I did not teach Section 2.6 of the book, I will adopt the following definition for a regular surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ to be orientable.

Definition 2.2 $A$ regular surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is said to be orientable if there exists a differentiable field of unit normal vectors $N: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ on the whole surface $S$. For simplicity, we just call it a unit normal vector field on $S$.

Remark 2.3 There exist regular surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ which are not orientable. One famous example is the Möbius strip. See the discussion in p. 108 for it (we will not discuss it).

Remark 2.4 If there exists a parametrization $\mathbf{x}: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\mathbf{x}(U)=S$, then the unit normal vector field $N$ given by (30) is defined on the whole surface $S$. In such a case, $S$ is orientable. In particular, if $S$ is the graph of a differentiable function $f(x, y)$ defined on some open set $U$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, then it is orientable.

Remark 2.5 We know that every regular surface $S$ is locally orientable. Hence whether $S$ is orientable or not is a global property.

In case $S$ is orientable, a choice of $N$ on $S$ is called an orientation on $S$ (this is equivalent to a choice of compatible coordinate neighborhoods covering $S$ ). If $S$ is connected, there are only two choices of $N$ on it. Therefore, if $S$ is connected, then it has exactly two orientations. If $S$ has $k$ connected components, then it has $2^{k}$ orientations.

If $S$ is a regular surface with an orientation $N$, a basis $\{v, w\}$ on $T_{p} S$ is called positive if $v \wedge w$ is pointing in the direction of $N(p)$, i.e. $\operatorname{det}(v, w, N(p))>0$. Otherwise, we say it is negative. If $\{v, w\}$ and $\{\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}\}$ are two positive bases on $T_{p} S$, then its change of coordinates has positive determinant.

The following says that the inverse image of a regular value of a differentiable function is also orientable. Thus, in general, it is difficult to find nonorientable surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Lemma 2.6 Let $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function and $a \in f(U)$ is a regular value of $f$. Then the surface

$$
S=\{(x, y, z) \in U: f(x, y, z)=a\}
$$

is orientable.
Proof. We know that the gradient vector (it is a nonzero vector since $a$ is a regular value)

$$
\nabla f(x, y, z)=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y, z), \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y, z), \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y, z)\right), \quad(x, y, z) \in S
$$

is everywhere perpendicular to $S$. Thus

$$
N(x, y, z)=\frac{\nabla f(x, y, z)}{|\nabla f(x, y, z)|}, \quad(x, y, z) \in S
$$

is a unit normal field on $S$. By Definition 2.2, $S$ is orientable.
Another important result is the following:
Lemma 2.7 Any compact regular surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is orientable. Therefore, spheres and ellipsoids in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ are both orientable.

Proof. Omit it.

### 2.1.2 Gauss Map of a Regular Surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Throughout this chapter (Chapter 3 of the textbook), unless otherwise stated, we always assume that $S$ is orientable with a chosen orientation $N$. For simplicity, we call $S$ a regular surface with an orientation $N$.

Definition 2.8 Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a regular surface with an orientation $N$. The map $N: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ has its values in the unit sphere

$$
S^{2}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=1\right\} .
$$

Thus we can write it as $N: S \rightarrow S^{2}$ and call it the Gauss map of $S$.
Remark 2.9 Since $N: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is differentiable (this is due to definition), by p. 77, Example 3, we know that $N: S \rightarrow S^{2}$ is also differentiable. One can also use local parametrization to verify this. Its differential $d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{N(p)} S^{2}$ can be viewed as a linear map from $T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ because one can identify $T_{N(p)} S^{2}$ and $T_{p} S$ (they are parallel planes in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$; both planes are normal to $N(p)$ ). For any $v \in T_{p} S$, choose $\alpha(t) \in S, t \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, so that $\alpha(0)=p, \alpha^{\prime}(0)=v$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{p}(v)=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} N(\alpha(t)) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

or more generally,

$$
d N_{\alpha(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)=\frac{d}{d t} N(\alpha(t)), \quad \forall t \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)
$$

If we write $\alpha(t)$ as $\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))$ with $\alpha(0)=\mathbf{x}(u(0), v(0))=p$ then

$$
N(\alpha(t))=N(\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t)))
$$

and for simplicity we will just write $N(\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t)))$ as $N(u(t), v(t))$ with the understanding that $N(u, v)$ is actually $N(\mathbf{x}(u, v))$. By this, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t} N(\alpha(t))=\frac{d}{d t} N(u(t), v(t))=N_{u}(u(t), v(t)) u^{\prime}(t)+N_{v}(u(t), v(t)) v^{\prime}(t), \quad \forall t \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)
$$

and

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} N(\alpha(t))=\underbrace{N_{u}(p) u^{\prime}(0)+N_{v}(p) v^{\prime}(0)} .
$$

On the other hand, we can also write the above $\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} N(\alpha(t))$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} N(\alpha(t)) \\
& =d N_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right)=d N_{p}\left[u^{\prime}(0) \mathbf{x}_{u}+v^{\prime}(0) \mathbf{x}_{v}\right]=\underbrace{u^{\prime}(0) d N_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}\right)+v^{\prime}(0) d N_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{u}(p)=d N_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}\right), \quad N_{v}(p)=d N_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{u}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{v}$ in (32) are evaluated at $(u(0), v(0)) \in U$.
Example 2.10 Do Example 2 in p. 139.
Example 2.11 Do Example 3 in p. 141. In this example, we have

$$
d N\left(x^{\prime}(t), y^{\prime}(t), z^{\prime}(t)\right)=\left(-x^{\prime}(t),-y^{\prime}(t), 0\right)
$$

which can be written as

$$
d N\left[\left(x^{\prime}(t), y^{\prime}(t), 0\right)+\left(0,0, z^{\prime}(t)\right)\right]=\left(-x^{\prime}(t),-y^{\prime}(t), 0\right) .
$$

Thus the differential $d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ has two eigenvalues 0 and -1 .
Example 2.12 Do Example 4 in p. 141. In this example, we have $\mathbf{x}(u, v)=\left(u, v, v^{2}-u^{2}\right),(u, v) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and we choose

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(u, v) & =N(\mathbf{x}(u, v))=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|}(u, v) \\
& =\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}, \frac{-v}{\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}, \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence at $p=(0,0,0)=\mathbf{x}(0,0)$ we have $\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)=(1,0,0)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)=(0,1,0)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d N_{p}((1,0,0)) & =d N_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)\right)=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right|_{u=0} N(\mathbf{x}(u, 0))=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right|_{u=0} N(u, 0) \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right|_{u=0}\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{u^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}, 0, \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{u^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}\right)=(2,0,0),
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
d N_{p}((0,1,0)) & =d N_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right)=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right|_{v=0} N(\mathbf{x}(0, v))=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right|_{v=0} N(0, v) \\
& =\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right|_{v=0}\left(0, \frac{-v}{\sqrt{v^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}, \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{v^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}\right)=(0,-2,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} N(u(t), v(t)) & =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} N(\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))) \\
& =d N_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0) \mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)+v^{\prime}(0) \mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right)=\left(2 u^{\prime}(0),-2 v^{\prime}(0), 0\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
d N_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0), v^{\prime}(0), 0\right)=\left(2 u^{\prime}(0),-2 v^{\prime}(0), 0\right) .
$$

Thus $d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ has two eigenvalues 2 and -2 with corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)=$ $(1,0,0)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)=(0,1,0)$.

Example 2.13 Do Example 5 in p. 140. In this example, we have $\mathbf{x}(u, v)=\left(u, v, u^{2}+k v^{2}\right),(u, v) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v)=(1,0,2 u), \quad \mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)=(0,1,2 k v), \\
\mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v) \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)=(-2 u,-2 k v, 1),
\end{array}\right.
$$

and we choose

$$
\begin{aligned}
N(u, v) & =N(\mathbf{x}(u, v))=-\frac{\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|}(u, v) \\
& =\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{u^{2}+k^{2} v^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}, \frac{k v}{\sqrt{u^{2}+k^{2} v^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}, \frac{-1}{2 \sqrt{u^{2}+k^{2} v^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence at $p=(0,0,0)$ we have $\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)=(1,0,0)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)=(0,1,0)$ and

$$
d N_{p}((1,0,0))=d N_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)\right)=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right|_{u=0} N(u, 0)=(2,0,0)
$$

and similarly

$$
d N_{p}((0,1,0))=d N_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right)=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right|_{v=0} N(0, v)=(0,2 k, 0) .
$$

In general, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} N(u(t), v(t))=\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} N(\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))) \\
& =d N_{p}\left[u^{\prime}(0) \mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)+v^{\prime}(0) \mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right]=\left(2 u^{\prime}(0), 2 k v^{\prime}(0), 0\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
d N_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(0), v^{\prime}(0), 0\right)=\left(2 u^{\prime}(0), 2 k v^{\prime}(0), 0\right) .
$$

Thus dN $N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ has two eigenvalues 2 and $2 k$ with corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)=$ $(1,0,0)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)=(0,1,0)$.

Proposition 2.14 (This is Proposition 1 in p. 142.) For each $p \in S$. the differential $d N_{p}$ : $T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ of the Gauss map is a self-adjoint linear map.

Remark 2.15 Read the appendix "self-adjoint linear map and quadratic forms" in p.217-219 by yourself.

Remark 2.16 Note that with respect to an orthonormal basis $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ on $T_{p} S$,
the matrix representation $M$ for $d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ is symmetric. If the basis $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ is not orthonormal, $M$ may not be symmetric in general. In particular, the matrix for the linear map $d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ with respect to a parametrization basis $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\}$ may not be symmetric in general.

Proof. Fix $p \in S$ and assume $\mathbf{x}(u, v): U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a parametrization of $S$ around $p$ with $\mathbf{x}(q)=p$. We already know that $d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ is linear and $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\}$ (evaluated at $q \in U$ ) is a basis on $T_{p} S$. To show that it is self-adjoint, we need to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d N_{p}(v), w\right\rangle=\left\langle v, d N_{p}(w)\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } \quad v, w \in T_{p} S \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

By linearity, it suffices to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d N_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}\right), \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, d N_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}\right)\right\rangle \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle N_{u}(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right\rangle=\left\langle N_{v}(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v)\right\rangle \quad \text { at } \quad q \in U, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(u, v)$ means $N(\mathbf{x}(u, v))$, where $(u, v) \in U$.
Note that for a parametrization $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ we have

$$
\left\langle N(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v)\right\rangle=\left\langle N(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad(u, v) \in U
$$

By differentiation with respect to $u$ and $v$ respectively, the above will imply

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle N_{u}(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v)\right\rangle=-\left\langle N(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{u u}(u, v)\right\rangle, \quad \text { where } N_{u}(u, v)=d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v)\right) \\
\left\langle N_{v}(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right\rangle=-\left\langle N(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{v v}(u, v)\right\rangle, \quad \text { where } N_{v}(u, v)=d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right) \\
\left\langle N_{u}(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right\rangle=\left\langle N_{v}(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v)\right\rangle=-\left\langle N(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{u v}(u, v)\right\rangle, \quad \mathbf{x}_{u v}(u, v)=\mathbf{x}_{v u}(u, v)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $(u, v) \in U$. In particular, at the point $p \in S$, we have the identity (34).
The proof is done.
Another useful result is the following:
Lemma 2.17 If the differential $d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ of the Gauss map satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d N_{p}(v), v\right\rangle=0, \quad \forall v \in T_{p} S \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $d N_{p}(v)=0$ for all $v \in T_{p} S$.
Proof. By the assumption, we have

$$
\left\langle d N_{p}(v+w), v+w\right\rangle=0, \quad \forall v, w \in T_{p} S
$$

which gives

$$
\left\langle d N_{p}(v), w\right\rangle+\left\langle d N_{p}(w), v\right\rangle=2\left\langle d N_{p}(v), w\right\rangle=0, \quad \forall v, w \in T_{p} S
$$

Hence for fixed $v \in T_{p} S$ we have $\left\langle d N_{p}(v), w\right\rangle=0$ for all $w \in T_{p} S$. This implies $d N_{p}(v)=0$. But since $v \in T_{p} S$ can be arbitrary, we conclude $d N_{p}(v)=0$ for all $v \in T_{p} S$.

The most important property of a self-adjoint linear map from an inner product vector space $V$ with $\operatorname{dim} V=2$ is the following:

Theorem 2.18 Let $V$ be an inner product vector space with $\operatorname{dim} V=2$ and assume $A: V \rightarrow$ $V$ is a self-adjoint linear map. Then there exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ of $V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A e_{1}=\lambda_{1} e_{1}, \quad A e_{2}=\lambda_{2} e_{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ (without loss of generality we may assume $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2}$ ), i.e. $e_{1}$, $e_{2}$ are eigenvectors and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are eigenvalues of $A$. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\max _{v \in V,|v|=1}\langle A v, v\rangle, \quad \lambda_{2}=\min _{v \in V,|v|=1}\langle A v, v\rangle . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See p. 219 of the textbook. We omit it.
Remark 2.19 (Interesting observation.) Assume $A: V \rightarrow V$ is a self-adjoint linear map with $\operatorname{dim} V=2$. If $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}$ are two eigenvalues of $A$ with corresponding unit eigenvectors $v_{1}, v_{2}$, then we must have $v_{1} \perp v_{2}$ and

$$
\lambda_{1}=\max _{v \in V,|v|=1}\langle A v, v\rangle, \quad \lambda_{2}=\min _{v \in V,|v|=1}\langle A v, v\rangle .
$$

To see this, by the identities

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle A v_{1}, v_{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle v_{1}, A v_{2}\right\rangle=0, \quad \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2} \neq 0,
$$

we have $v_{1} \perp v_{2}$. Finally, for any $v \in V$ with $|v|=1$ we can express it as

$$
v=\alpha v_{1}+\beta v_{2} \quad \text { for some } \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}=1
$$

and by

$$
\langle A v, v\rangle=\left\langle\alpha \lambda_{1} v_{1}+\beta \lambda_{2} v_{2}, \alpha v_{1}+\beta v_{2}\right\rangle=\lambda_{1} \alpha^{2}+\lambda_{2} \beta^{2},
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{2} \alpha^{2}+\lambda_{2} \beta^{2} \leq \lambda_{1} \alpha^{2}+\lambda_{2} \beta^{2} \leq \lambda_{1} \alpha^{2}+\lambda_{1} \beta^{2}=\lambda_{1},
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\max _{v \in V,|v|=1}\langle A v, v\rangle, \quad \lambda_{2}=\min _{v \in V,|v|=1}\langle A v, v\rangle . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1.3 Second Fundamental Form, Normal Curvature, and Geodesic Curvature.

Definition 2.20 The quadratic form $I I_{p}(v):=-\left\langle d N_{p}(v), v\right\rangle: T_{p} S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called the second fundamental form of $S$ at $p$. Note that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{p}(-v)=I I_{p}(v) \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in T_{p} S \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the linear map $d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ is self-adjoint.
Definition 2.21 Let $C$ be a regular curve in $S$ (with unit normal $N$ ) parametrized by $\alpha(s)$ (with Frenet frame $\{t(s), n(s), b(s)\})$, where $s \in I$ is arc length parameter. The unit vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)=N(s) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}(s)=N(s) \wedge t(s), \quad s \in I \quad(N(s) \text { means } N(\alpha(s))) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the intrinsic normal of $\alpha$ at $s$. It is an unit vector lying on $T_{\alpha(s)} S$ and normal to the curve $\alpha$ at s, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}_{i n t}(s) \in T_{\alpha(s)} S, \quad\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{i n t}(s), t(s)\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle=0, \quad s \in I \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is why we call it the intrinsic normal of $\alpha$. Now the three vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{t(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s), N(s)\right\} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

form an orthonormal frame at $\alpha(s)$ (it also has the the previous Frenet frame $\{t(s), n(s), b(s)\}$ at $\alpha(s))$. The tangent plane $T_{\alpha(s)} S$ is spanned by the orthonormal basis $\left\{t(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(s) \wedge \mathbf{n}_{i n t}(s)=N(s) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.22 (Important.) Note that $\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)=N(s) \wedge t(s)$ is always defined even if $n(s)$ of $\alpha$ is undefined (i.e., when $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)=0$ ).

Remark 2.23 (Important.) The projection of the normal vector $n(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of $\alpha$ onto the tangent plane $T_{\alpha(s)} S$ is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { projection of } n(s) \text { onto } T_{\alpha(s)} S \\
& =\underbrace{\langle n(s), t(s)\rangle} t(s)+\underbrace{\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle} \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)=\underbrace{\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle} \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s) . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

This explains why we call $\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)$ the intrinsic normal of $\alpha$ because it is the projection of $n(s)$ onto $T_{\alpha(s)} S$.

Remark 2.24 (Important.) To study the geometry of a curve $\alpha(s)$ on $S$, it is better to use the frame $\left\{t(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s), N(s)\right\}$ (it respects the surface) than the Frenet frame $\{t(s), n(s), b(s)\}$.

For a parametrized curve $\alpha(s) \in S$ (with normal $N$ ), $s \in I$, since we have $\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle=$ 0 everywhere, the vector $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)$ must lie on the plane spanned by $\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)$ and $N(s)$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)=k(s) n(s)=\underbrace{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle} \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+\underbrace{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), N(s)\right\rangle} N(s), \quad s \in I . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.25 In (46), the quantity

$$
\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle \quad\left(\text { denoted as } k_{g}(s)\right)
$$

is called the geodesic curvature of $\alpha$; and the quantity

$$
\left.\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), N(s)\right\rangle \quad \text { (denoted as } k_{n}(s)\right)
$$

is called the normal curvature of $\alpha$. Therefore, we have the identity

$$
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)=k(s) n(s)=k_{g}(s) \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+k_{n}(s) N(s), \quad s \in I
$$

Since we also have $\left|\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)\right|=k(s) \geq 0$ (the curvature of $\alpha(s)$ as a curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ), we conclude the important identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{2}(s)=\left|\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)\right|^{2}=k_{g}^{2}(s)+k_{n}^{2}(s), \quad s \in I, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same as

$$
k(s)=\sqrt{k_{g}^{2}(s)+k_{n}^{2}(s)}, \quad s \in I
$$

If $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s) \neq 0$ (then $n(s)$ is defined), we also have

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
k_{g}(s)=\underbrace{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle}=k(s)\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle, \quad \text { where } \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)=N(s) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}(s)  \tag{48}\\
k_{n}(s)=\underbrace{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), N(s)\right\rangle}=k(s)\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle, \quad s \in I .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Finally, if $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)=0\left(\right.$ then $n(s)$ is undefined), we have $k_{g}(s)=k_{g}(s)=k(s)=0$.
Remark 2.26 If we change the orientation of $S$, then both $\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)$ and $N(s)$ change sign and so do $k_{n}(s)$ and $k_{g}(s)$.

Assume $\alpha(0)=p \in S$. We have the following important identity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I I_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right)=-\left\langle d N_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right), \alpha^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle=-\left\langle N^{\prime}(0), \alpha^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle=\left\langle N(0), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(0)\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\langle N(0), k(0) \mathbf{n}(0)\rangle=k_{n}(0) \text { (or denote it as } k_{n}(p)\right)=\text { normal curvature at } p . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

We conclude that the value of the second fundamental form $I I_{p}(v)$ at a unit vector $v \in T_{p} S$ is equal to the normal curvature of a regular curve $\alpha$ passing through $p$ and tangent to $v$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{\alpha(s)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(s)\right)=-\left\langle d N_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(s)\right), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle=k_{n}(s), \quad \forall s \in I . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the normal curvature of $\alpha$ at $p$ is actually to measure the "geometry" of $S$, NOT the curvature of $\alpha$. It is the component of the tangent vector $-d N_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(s)\right)$ in the direction $\alpha^{\prime}(s), s \in I$.

By the identity (49), we have the following important observation:
Lemma 2.27 The normal curvature $k_{n}(s)$ measures the "geometry" of $S$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (along some direction $v \in T_{p} S$ given by $\left.\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right)$ and the geodesic curvature $k_{g}(s)$ measures how $\alpha$ is curving in $S$. The geodesic curvature of $\alpha$ is the intrinsic curvature of $\alpha$ in $S$, i.e., the curvature viewed by the surface $S$. However, the curvature $k(s)$ of $\alpha \in S$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ depends on the "geometry" of $S$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and how $\alpha$ is curving in $S$, i.e. $k(s)$ depends on $k_{n}(s)$ and $k_{g}(s)$.

Another interesting observation is the following:
Proposition 2.28 (This is Proposition 2 in p. 144.) (Meusnier.) All curves (parametrized by arc length parameter) lying on $S$ passing through $p \in S$ and having the same tangent line at $p$ have the same normal curvature at $p$.

Remark 2.29 (Important.) By the above Proposition, we can talk about the normal curvature along a given direction $v \in T_{p} S$ at $p$ (here both $v$ and $-v$ are regarded as having the same direction). Moreover, if we change the direction $v$ into $-v$, we get the same normal curvature.

Proof. Let $\alpha(s)$ and $\beta(s)$ be two regular curves lying on $S$ with $\alpha(0)=\beta(0)=p \in S$ and $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=v \in T_{p} S$. By the assumption we have $\beta^{\prime}(0)= \pm v$ and by (49), the normal curvature of $\alpha$ at $p$ is

$$
k_{n}^{(\alpha)}(0)=I I_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right)=I I_{p}(v) .
$$

On the other hand, the normal curvature of $\beta$ at $p$ is

$$
k_{n}^{(\beta)}(0)=I I_{p}\left(\beta^{\prime}(0)\right)=I I_{p}( \pm v)=I I_{p}(v) .
$$

The proof is done.
Example 2.30 (Curve lying on $S^{2}$.) Let $S^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ centered at the origin $O=(0,0,0)$ with chosen orientation $N(p)=-p$ (inward) for all $p \in S^{2}$. Let $\alpha(s): I \rightarrow S^{2}$ be a regular curve lying on $S^{2}$. We have $N(s)=-\alpha(s)$ and the following three useful identities

$$
\langle\alpha(s), \alpha(s)\rangle \equiv 1, \quad\left\langle\alpha(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle \equiv 0, \quad\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle \equiv 1, \quad \forall s \in I
$$

which give

$$
\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \alpha(s)\right\rangle=-\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle \equiv-1, \quad\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle \equiv 0, \quad \forall s \in I
$$

By definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)=N(s) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}(s)=-\alpha(s) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}(s) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the frame $\left\{t(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s), N(s)\right\}$ is given by

$$
\left\{t(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s), N(s)\right\}=\left\{\alpha^{\prime}(s),-\alpha(s) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}(s),-\alpha(s)\right\} .
$$

The geodesic curvature and normal curvature are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{g}(s)=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \mathbf{n}_{i n t}(s)\right\rangle=-\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \alpha(s) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle=-\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)  \tag{52}\\
k_{n}(s)=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), N(s)\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s),-\alpha(s)\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle \equiv 1, \quad s \in I
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the vector $\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)$ can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s) & =k(s) n(s)=k_{g}(s) \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+k_{n}(s) N(s) \\
& =-\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+1 \cdot N(s), \quad s \in I, \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives the curvature identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(s)=\sqrt{1+k_{g}^{2}(s)}=\sqrt{1+\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)\right]^{2}}, \quad s \in I . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can also compute $k_{n}(s)$ by the identity (49) and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{n}(s) & =-\left\langle d N_{\alpha(s)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(s)\right), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle N^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle \equiv 1, \quad \forall s \in I .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we note that if we change the orientation of $S^{2}$ by choosing $N(p)=p$ (outward) for all $p \in S^{2}$, both $\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)$ and $N(s)$ change sign and so do $k_{n}(s)$ and $k_{g}(s)$. In such a case, we have the nice identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{g}(s)=\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)\right), \quad s \in I \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

This example confirms our observation in Lemma 2.27. The normal curvature $k_{n}(s) \equiv 1$ describes the geometry of $S^{2}$, not the geometry of $\alpha(s)$.

Example 2.31 (Curve lying on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.) If $\alpha(s)$ is a curve lying on the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, then $k_{n}(s) \equiv$ 0 (since the plane has no "curvature") and $k_{g}(s)=k(s)$, where $k(s)$ is the signed curvature of $\alpha$ in the plane. More precisely, we choose $N(p)=(0,0,1)$ (upward) for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and so $d N_{p}(v)=0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and all $v \in T_{p} S$. If we write the unit tangent vector $\alpha^{\prime}(s)$ as $\alpha^{\prime}(s)=$ $(\cos \theta(s), \sin \theta(s), 0)$, we get

$$
\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)=N(s) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}(s)=(0,0,1) \wedge(\cos \theta(s), \sin \theta(s), 0)=(-\sin \theta(s), \cos \theta(s), 0)
$$

and then $\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)$ is the same as the normal vector $n(s)$ for plane curve $\alpha(s)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{g}(s)=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), n(s)\right\rangle=k(s)=\text { signed curvature of } \alpha(s) . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is other way to express the geodesic curvature $k_{g}(s)$. Assume the Frenet frame $\{t(s), n(s), b(s)\}$ of $\alpha(s)$ exists. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{g}(s) & =\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle=k(s)\langle n(s), N(s) \wedge t(s)\rangle \\
& =k\langle N(s), t(s) \wedge n(s)\rangle=k(s)\langle b(s), N(s)\rangle \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

and conclude

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{n}(s)=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), N(s)\right\rangle=\underbrace{k(s)\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle}=\text { normal curvature }  \tag{58}\\
k_{g}(s)=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle=\underbrace{k(s)\langle b(s), N(s)\rangle}=\text { geodesic curvature }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 2.32 Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a regular surface with a chosen orientation $N$. Given a unit vector $v \in T_{p} S$, the set

$$
S \bigcap P
$$

is called the normal section of $S$ at $p$ in the direction $v$. Here $P$ is the plane passing through $p$ and contains the two vectors $N(p)$ and $v \in T_{p} S$.

Since $S$ is a regular surface and near $p$ it is like the graph of a function $z=f(x, y),(x, y) \in$ $U$ (some open set containing $(0,0)$ ) with $f(0,0)=0, f_{x}(0,0)=0, f_{y}(0,0)=0$; see Exercise 26 in p. 93), therefore near $p$ the normal section of $S$ at $p$ (along any direction $v \in T_{p} S$ ) is a regular plane curve $C$ lying on $S$ (here "regular curve" is in the sense of p. 77-78 of the textbook). If we parametrize it by $\alpha(s), s \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, with $\alpha(0)=p$, then $\alpha(s)$ is a curve on $S$ (and also on the plane $P$ ) with $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=v \in T_{p} S$ and either (in the following, the curvature $k(s)$ of $\alpha(s)$ is defined as $\left.k(s)=\left|\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)\right| \geq 0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(0) \neq 0, \quad \alpha^{\prime \prime}(0)=k(0) \mathbf{n}(0) \quad \text { with } k(0)=\left|\alpha^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|>0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad \text { with } k(0)=\left|\alpha^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|=0 \text { and } \mathbf{n}(0) \text { is undefined. }
$$

where in (59) the curvature $k(0)$ is defined as $\left|\alpha^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|$. In the first case, $\mathbf{n}(0)$ is defined with

$$
\mathbf{n}(0)=N(0) \quad \text { or } \quad \mathbf{n}(0)=-N(0) .
$$

In the second case, $\mathbf{n}(0)$ is undefined.
By the relation $k_{n}(0)=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(0), N(0)\right\rangle$ we have the following possibilities:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{n}(0)=k(0)>0 \quad \text { if } \quad \mathbf{n}(0)=N(0)  \tag{60}\\
k_{n}(0)=-k(0)<0 \quad \text { if } \quad \mathbf{n}(0)=-N(0) \\
k_{n}(0)=0 \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha^{\prime \prime}(0)=0 \quad(\mathbf{n}(0) \text { is undefined })
\end{array}\right.
$$

In any case, we conclude (note that here, similar to space curves, $k(0)$ is defined as $\left.\left|\alpha^{\prime \prime}(0)\right| \geq 0\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|k_{n}(0)\right|=k(0) . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we see that the normal section of $S$ at $p$ has no geodesic curvature.
Remark 2.33 The purpose of the normal section is to find a curve $\alpha(s), \alpha(0)=p$, on $S$ such that its curvature $k(0)$ is equal to the absolute value of the normal curvature $k_{n}(0)$.

Example 2.34 Let $S=S^{2}$ centered at $(0,0,0)$ with inward normal $N$ and $p \in S^{2}$. Each of a normal section of $S$ at $p$ in the direction $v \in T_{p} S$ is a great circle with 0 geodesic curvature. We have $k_{n}(0)=1$ for all $v \in T_{p} S$.

Example 2.35 (Skip this in class.) Do Example 6 in p. 145. Here we use the fact from Lemma 2.17 to conclude that $d N_{p}=0, p=(0,0,0)$. To be more precise, for each possible normal section $\alpha(s)$ of $S$ at $p$ in the direction $v$ we have curvature $k(0)=0$ (due to the equation $z=y^{4}$ ) and so $k_{n}(0)=0$, which gives

$$
-\left\langle d N_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right), \alpha^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle=k_{n}(0)=0
$$

for all possible $\alpha^{\prime}(0) \in T_{p} S$.

Example 2.36 (Curve lying on cylinder.) Let $S$ be the cylinder in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: x^{2}+y^{2}=1\right\} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we choose $N(x, y, z)=(-x,-y, 0)$. For $p \in S$, we can look at all possible normal sections of $S$ at $p$ in all possible directions $\pm v \in T_{p} S$ and conclude that, at $p \in S$, the maximal normal curvature is +1 and the minimal normal curvature is 0 .

Definition 2.37 For $p \in S$, since the map $-d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ is self-adjoint, by Theorem 2.18 there exists orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d N_{p}\left(e_{1}\right)=k_{1} e_{1}, \quad-d N_{p}\left(e_{2}\right)=k_{2} e_{2} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ (without loss of generality we may assume $k_{1} \geq k_{2}$ ), where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}=\max _{v \in T_{p} S,|v|=1}\left\langle-d N_{p}(v), v\right\rangle=\text { maximal normal curvature at } p, \\
k_{2}=\min _{v \in T_{p} S,|v|=1}\left\langle-d N_{p}(v), v\right\rangle=\text { minimal normal curvature at } p .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We call them the principal curvatures of $S$ at $p$. The directions given by $\pm e_{1}, \pm e_{2}$ are called the principal directions of $S$ at $p$. Therefore, along any possible direction $\pm v \in T_{p} S$ at $p,|v|=1$, the normal curvature $\left\langle-d N_{p}( \pm v), \pm v\right\rangle$ satisfies (now we denote $k_{1}$, $k_{2}$ at $p$ as $k_{1}(p)$ and $k_{2}(p)$ )

$$
k_{2}(p) \leq\left\langle-d N_{p}( \pm v), \pm v\right\rangle \leq k_{1}(p), \quad \forall \text { unit vector } v \in T_{p} S
$$

i.e. $\left\langle-d N_{p}( \pm v), \pm v\right\rangle$ lies on the interval $\left[k_{2}(p), k_{1}(p)\right]$. We call it the normal curvature interval at $p \in S$.

Remark 2.38 (Be careful.) In the above definition, we assume $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ to be orthonormal even if $k_{1}=k_{2}$. So by default, principal directions are perpendicular to each other. However, note that when $k_{1}=k_{2}$ (denote it as $k$ ), we have $-d N_{p}=k I$ and any vector $v \neq 0 \in T_{p} S$ is an eigenvector and we usually say that the direction given by $v \neq 0 \in T_{p} S$ is a principal direction. This may be a little bit confusing sometimes !!!

Remark 2.39 Summary: maximal and minimal normal curvatures are eigenvalues and principal directions are eigenvector directions, for the linear map $-d N$.

Lemma 2.40 For each $p \in S$ and each number $\lambda \in\left[k_{2}(p), k_{1}(p)\right]$, there is some direction $\pm v_{0} \in$ $T_{p} S$ such that

$$
\left\langle-d N_{p}\left( \pm v_{0}\right), \pm v_{0}\right\rangle=\lambda
$$

Remark 2.41 We will see in Section 3.3 that if we choose $k_{1}(p) \geq k_{2}(p)$ for all $p \in S$, then $k_{1}(p)$ and $k_{2}(p)$ are continuous functions on $S$.

Proof. This is obvious since the function $\left\langle-d N_{p}( \pm v), \pm v\right\rangle: v$ (unit vectors) $\in T_{p} S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Therefore, its image is a connected closed interval equal to $\left[k_{2}(p), k_{1}(p)\right]$. The result follows due to the intermediate value theorem.

Example 2.42 Let $S=x y$-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then at any $p \in S$, all directions are principal directions and all normal curvatures at $p$ are 0 .

Example 2.43 Let $S=S^{2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $N(p)=-p$ (inward) on $S^{2}$. Then at any $p \in S$ all directions are principal directions and all normal curvatures at $p$ are 1.

Example 2.44 Let $S$ be the cylinder (62) in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with inward normal. At any $p \in S$, the directions parallel to the $z$-axis and the directions perpendicular to the $z$-axis are principal directions. The principal curvatures of $S$ at $p$ are 0 and 1.

Example 2.45 Let $S$ be the hyperbolic paraboloid in Example 4 in p. 141. It has global parametrization $\mathbf{x}(u, v)=\left(u, v, v^{2}-u^{2}\right),(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and we choose $N(u, v)=N(\mathbf{x}(u, v))=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}}{\left|\mathbf{T u}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|}(u, v)$. At $p=(0,0,0)=\mathbf{x}(0,0)$ we have $\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)=(1,0,0)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)=(0,1,0)$, hence $T_{p} S=$ xy-plane.
We note that (see the computation in Example 2.12)

$$
-d N_{p}((1,0,0))=(-2,0,0)=-2(1,0,0), \quad-d N_{p}((0,1,0))=(0,2,0)=2(0,1,0)
$$

Therefore, $-d N_{p}$ has two eigenvalues -2 and 2 and corresponding eigenvectors $(1,0,0)$ and $(0,1,0)$. The principal curvatures of $S$ at $p$ are -2 and 2 . The two directions along the $x$-axis and $y$-axis are principal directions.

### 2.1.4 Line of Curvature.

From now on, we always assume that $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a regular surface with a chosen orientation $N$.
Definition 2.46 Let $C \subset S$ be a connected regular curve with the property that the tangent line $L$ at any $p \in C$ is a principal direction of $S$ at $p$. Then we say $C$ is a line of curvature on $S$.

Remark 2.47 Note that $C$ is in general a curve on $S$, not a straight line on $S$ (i.e. do not be misled by the name). On $S^{2}$, any curve $\alpha(s) \in S^{2}$ is a line of curvature. Similarly, on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, any curve $\alpha(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a line of curvature.

Proposition 2.48 (This is Proposition 3 in p. 147.) (Olinde Rodrigues.) A necessary and sufficiently for a connected regular $C$ on $S$ to be a line of curvature is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}(t)=\lambda(t) \alpha^{\prime}(t) \quad\left(\text { same as }-N^{\prime}(t)=-\lambda(t) \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any parametrization $\alpha(t)$ of $C$ and any $t$ in the domain of $\alpha$, where $N(t)=N(\alpha(t))$ and $\lambda(t)$ is a differentiable function of $t$. In this case, $-\lambda(t)$ is the principal curvature of $S$ at $\alpha(t)$ along $\alpha^{\prime}(t)$.

Remark 2.49 (Important.) If a curve $\alpha(s) \in S, s \in I$, is a line of curvature, then each $\alpha^{\prime}(s)$ is an eigenvector of $d N_{\alpha(s)}$ and by
$-\left\langle d N_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(s)\right), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle=-\left\langle N^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle=\left\langle N(s), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\rangle=k_{n}(s)=$ normal curvature at $\alpha(s)$,
we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}(s)=k_{1}(s) \quad \text { or } \quad k_{2}(s), \quad \forall s \in I \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For any parametrization $\alpha(t)$ of $C$, if it is a line of curvature, then $\alpha^{\prime}(t)$ is a principal direction and we have

$$
N^{\prime}(t)=d N\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)=\lambda(t) \alpha^{\prime}(t)
$$

for some function $\lambda(t)$. The function $\lambda(t)$ is differentiable due to the identity

$$
\lambda(t)=\frac{\left\langle N^{\prime}(t), \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle}{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(t), \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle}, \quad\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(t), \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle>0 \text { for all } t \in \text { domain of } \alpha
$$

Conversely, if we have the identity (64) for all $t$, it means that the vector $\alpha^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue $-\lambda(t)$ for the map $-d N_{\alpha(t)}$. Hence the curve $C$ is a line of curvature.

### 2.1.5 Euler Formula, Gauss Curvature, Mean Curvature, and Umbilical Point.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a regular surface with an orientation $N$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis on $T_{p} S$ (eigenvectors) corresponding to the two principal curvatures $k_{1}(p) \geq k_{2}(p)$. We also assume that they have positive orientation, i.e. they satisfy $e_{1} \wedge e_{2}=N(p)$ on $T_{p} S$. If $k_{1}(p)>k_{2}(p)$, such a basis on $T_{p} S$ is unique (modulo the choice $\left\{-e_{1},-e_{2}\right\}$ ). For any unit vector $v \in T_{p} S$, one can express it as

$$
v=(\cos \theta) e_{1}+(\sin \theta) e_{2}, \quad|v|=1
$$

where $\theta$ is the angle from $e_{1}$ to $v$ in the orientation of $T_{p} S$ (which means $e_{1} \wedge v=N(p)$ ). The normal curvature along $v$ is now given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{n}(p)=I I_{p}(v)=-\left\langle d N_{p}(v), v\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle d N_{p}\left((\cos \theta) e_{1}+(\sin \theta) e_{2}\right),(\cos \theta) e_{1}+(\sin \theta) e_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(k_{1} \cos \theta\right) e_{1}+\left(k_{2} \sin \theta\right) e_{2},(\cos \theta) e_{1}+(\sin \theta) e_{2}\right\rangle=k_{1}(p) \cos ^{2} \theta+k_{2}(p) \sin ^{2} \theta \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

The formula in (67) is known as the Euler formula, which is the expression of the second fundamental form $I I_{p}(\cdot)$ on $T_{p} S$ with respect to the basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$.

Remark 2.50 In Euler formula, it suffices to focus on $\theta \in[0, \pi)$ due to $I I_{p}(-v)=I I_{p}(v)$.
Remark 2.51 (Interesting observation.) The formula (67) automatically implies the inequality

$$
k_{2}(p) \leq k_{n}(p) \leq k_{1}(p), \quad \forall v \in T_{p} S, \quad|v|=1
$$

due to the linear combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}(p)=\rho k_{1}+(1-\rho) k_{2}, \quad 0 \leq \rho=\cos ^{2} \theta \leq 1 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.52 Let $k_{1} \geq k_{2}$ be the two principal curvatures of $S$ at $p$. The two numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
K:=k_{1} k_{2}, \quad H:=\frac{k_{1}+k_{2}}{2} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

are called the Gauss curvature of $S$ at $p$ and the mean curvature of $S$ at $p$ respectively. We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\operatorname{det}\left(-d N_{p}\right), \quad H=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(-d N_{p}\right) \quad\left(=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(-d N_{p}\right)\right) . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.53 If we change the orientation of $S$ (i.e. replace $N$ by $-N$ ), each principal curvature changes sign and so the mean curvature $H$ changes its sign. However, the Gauss curvature $K$ is unchanged (since $\operatorname{dim} T_{p} S=2$ ).

Definition 2.54 A point $p \in S$ is called

1. Elliptic if $\operatorname{det}\left(d N_{p}\right)>0$ (i.e. $k_{1}(p)$ and $k_{2}(p)$ have the same sign).
2. Hyperbolic if $\operatorname{det}\left(d N_{p}\right)<0$ (i.e. $k_{1}(p)$ and $k_{2}(p)$ have opposite sign).
3. Parabolic if $\operatorname{det}\left(d N_{p}\right)=0$, but $d N_{p} \neq 0$. (i.e. either $k_{1}(p)$ or $k_{2}(p)$ is zero, but not both).
4. Planar if $d N_{p}=0$ (i.e. both $k_{1}(p)$ and $k_{2}(p)$ are zero).

Remark 2.55 Note that the above definition does not depend on the choice of the orientation $N$ on $S$.

Example 2.56 (See Example 5 in p. 142.) For the graphic surface $S: z=x^{2}+k y^{2}$ ( $k>0$ is a constant) with upward normal, the point $p=(0,0,0) \in S$ is an elliptic point with $k_{1}=2, k_{2}=$ $2 k$ and all normal curvatures have the same sign. All curves $\alpha(s) \in S, \alpha(0)=p$, passing through $p$ are bending towards the same side of $T_{p} S$ due to the constant sign of $\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(0), N(p)\right\rangle$.

Example 2.57 For the graphic surface $S: z=y^{2}-x^{2}$ with upward normal, the point $p=$ $(0,0,0) \in S$ is a hyperbolic point with $k_{1}=2, k_{2}=-2$. There are curves passing through $p$ bending towards one side of $T_{p} S$ and there are curves passing through $p$ bending towards the other side of $T_{p} S$.

Example 2.58 Let $S$ be the cylinder in Example 3 in $p .141$ with inward normal. At each $p \in S$, we have $k_{1}=1, k_{2}=0$. Therefore, all points on $S$ are parabolic points.

Definition 2.59 Let $k_{1} \geq k_{2}$ be the two principal curvatures of $S$ at $p$. If we have $k_{1}=k_{2}$, then the point $p \in S$ is called an umbilical point. In particular, any planar point is an umbilical point.

Example 2.60 On $S^{2}$, any point $p \in S^{2}$ is an umbilical point. Similarly, on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, any point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is an umbilical point.

We have the following interesting result related to the above example:
Proposition 2.61 (This is Proposition 4 in p. 149.) Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a connected surface and all points on $S$ are umbilical. Then $S$ is contained either in a sphere (not necessarily unit sphere) or in a plane.

Proof. Let $p \in S$ and $\mathbf{x}(u, v): U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S$ a parametrization near $p$ and we take $U$ to be connected open set in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. For each $q \in V=\mathbf{x}(U)$ and any vector $w=a \mathbf{x}_{u}+b \mathbf{x}_{v} \in T_{q} S$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{q}(w)=\lambda(q) w, \quad \forall w \in T_{q} S, \quad q \in V \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda(q): V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function on $V$ (you can see this from (72) below). Since $w=a \mathbf{x}_{u}+b \mathbf{x}_{v}$, we have

$$
a N_{u}+b N_{v}=\lambda(q)\left(a \mathbf{x}_{u}+b \mathbf{x}_{v}\right)
$$

Since $w \in T_{q} S$ is arbitrary, we have (pick $a=1, b=0$ and $a=0, b=1$ respectively)

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{u}=\lambda(q) \mathbf{x}_{u}, \quad N_{v}=\lambda(q) \mathbf{x}_{v}, \quad \lambda(q)=\frac{\left\langle N_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle}{E(u, v)}=\frac{\left\langle N_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle}{G(u, v)} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives (look at $N_{u v}-N_{v u}=0$ )

$$
\lambda_{v}(q) \mathbf{x}_{u}-\lambda_{u}(q) \mathbf{x}_{v}=0, \quad \forall q \in V
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{v}(q)=\lambda_{u}(q)=0, \quad \forall q \in V \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above implies that $\lambda(q)$ is a constant function on $V$ since $V \subset S$ is connected.
Case 1: $\lambda(q) \equiv 0$ on $V$.
In this case, we have $N_{u}=N_{v} \equiv 0$ on $V$, which implies $N(u, v)=$ const. $N_{0}$ on $V$. In particular we get

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left\langle\mathbf{x}(u, v), N_{0}\right\rangle=\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left\langle\mathbf{x}(u, v), N_{0}\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { on } \quad(u, v) \in U .
$$

It means that all points $\mathbf{x}(u, v),(u, v) \in U$, lie on a plane $P$ perpendicular to $N_{0}$.

Case 2: $\lambda(q) \equiv \lambda \neq 0$ on $V$.
In this case, we have

$$
N_{u}=\lambda \mathbf{x}_{u}, \quad N_{v}=\lambda \mathbf{x}_{v}, \quad \forall(u, v) \in U
$$

which gives

$$
\left(\frac{N}{\lambda}-\mathbf{x}\right)_{u}=\left(\frac{N}{\lambda}-\mathbf{x}\right)_{v}=0, \quad \forall(u, v) \in U
$$

i.e.

$$
\mathbf{x}(u, v)-\frac{N(u, v)}{\lambda}=\text { const. vector } v_{0} \quad \text { on } U .
$$

We conclude

$$
\left|\mathbf{x}(u, v)-v_{0}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \quad \text { on } \quad U
$$

and know that all points of $V$ are contained in a sphere with radius $1 /|\lambda|$ centered at $v_{0}$.
Finally, for any $p, q \in S$, since $S$ is connected, there exists a continuous path $\alpha(t) \in S, t \in$ $[0,1]$, such that $\alpha(0)=p, \alpha(1)=q$. By compactness of the set $\alpha([0,1])$, there exists finitely many connected coordinate neighborhoods $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ satisfying

$$
\alpha([0,1]) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} V_{i}
$$

and without loss of generality we may assume that

$$
V_{1} \bigcap V_{2}(\text { open set }) \neq \varnothing, V_{2} \bigcap V_{3}(\text { open set }) \neq \varnothing, \ldots, V_{k-1} \bigcap V_{k}(\text { open set }) \neq \varnothing .
$$

and moreover, each coordinate neighborhoods $V_{i}$ is lying either on a plane or on a sphere with radius $r_{i}>0$.

If $V_{1}$ lies on a plane, then $V_{2}$ also lies on the same plane. This is because if $V_{2}$ lies on a sphere or a different plane, then it is impossible for $V_{1} \bigcap V_{2}$ to be an open set on the surface $S$. By induction, all $V_{i}, i=3,4, \ldots, k$, will all lie on the same plane. Hence $p$ and $q$ lie on the same plane. By fixing $p \in S$ and letting $q \in S$ be arbitrary, we see that the whole surface $S$ lies on some plane.

Similarly, if $V_{1}$ lies on a sphere with radius $r>0$, the same argument implies that all $V_{i}, 1 \leq$ $i \leq k$, lies on the same sphere with radius $r>0$. Hence $p, q$ lie on the same sphere with radius $r>0$. By fixing $p \in S$ and letting $q \in S$ be arbitrary, we see that the whole surface $S$ lies on a sphere with radius $r>0$. The proof is done.

### 2.1.6 Asymptotic Direction, Asymptotic Curve, Dupin Indicatrix, and Conjugate Directions.

Definition 2.62 Let $p \in S$. A direction $v \in T_{p} S$ is called an asymptotic direction at $p$ if the normal curvature of $S$ at $p$ along $v$ is 0 . A connected regular curve $C \subset S$ is called an asymptotic curve if for each $p \in C$ the tangent line $L$ at $p \in C$ is along an asymptotic direction.

Remark 2.63 (Comparison.) If $\alpha(s) \in S, s \in I$, is a regular curve on $S$, we have:

1. If normal curvature $k_{n}(s)$ at $\alpha(s)$ along $\alpha^{\prime}(s)$ is either $-k_{1}(s)$ or $-k_{2}(s)$ for all $s \in I$, then $\alpha(s)$ is a line of curvature.
2. If normal curvature $k_{n}(s)$ at $\alpha(s)$ along $\alpha^{\prime}(s)$ is 0 everywhere, then $\alpha(s)$ is an asymptotic curve.

Lemma 2.64 We have the following:

1. If $p \in S$ is an elliptic point $\left(k_{1}(p) k_{2}(p)>0\right)$, there is no asymptotic direction at $p$.
2. If $p \in S$ is a hyperbolic point $\left(k_{1}(p) k_{2}(p)<0\right)$, we have exactly two asymptotic directions at $p$. Moreover, if $k_{1}(p)+k_{2}(p)=0$ (i.e. mean curvature is 0 ), these two directions are orthogonal.
3. If $p \in S$ is a parabolic point $\left(k_{1}(p) k_{2}(p)=0\right.$, but one of them is nonzero), there is exactly one asymptotic direction at $p$.

Proof. (1) is obvious.
For (2), let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ an orthonormal basis on $T_{p} S$ (eigenvectors of $-d N_{p}$ ) corresponding to the two principal curvatures $k_{1}(p) \geq k_{2}(p)$. For unit vector $v \in T_{p} S$ with $v=(\cos \theta) e_{1}+$ $(\sin \theta) e_{2}$, the normal curvature $k_{n}(p)$ along $v$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}(p)=k_{1}(p) \cos ^{2} \theta+k_{2}(p) \sin ^{2} \theta=\left[k_{1}(p)-k_{2}(p)\right] \cos ^{2} \theta+k_{2}(p), \quad \theta \in[0, \pi), \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now $k_{1}(p)>0$ and $k_{2}(p)<0$. We want to find $\theta \in[0, \pi)$ such that $k_{n}(p)=0$, i.e. want to solve the equation for $\theta \in[0, \pi)$ :

$$
\cos ^{2} \theta=-\frac{k_{2}(p)}{k_{1}(p)-k_{2}(p)} \in(0,1)
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta= \pm \sqrt{-\frac{k_{2}(p)}{k_{1}(p)-k_{2}(p)}} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation has exactly two solutions $\theta_{0} \in(0, \pi / 2)$ and $\pi-\theta_{0} \in(\pi / 2, \pi)$. Therefore, we have exactly two asymptotic directions at $p$ (draw a picture for this).

If $k_{1}(p)+k_{2}(p)=0$, then equation (75) becomes $\cos \theta= \pm 1 / \sqrt{2}$ and the two solutions are $\theta_{0}=\pi / 4,3 \pi / 4$. These two directions are orthogonal.

For (3), if $k_{1}(p)>0, k_{2}(p)=0$, then equation $k_{n}(p)=0$ becomes

$$
k_{1}(p) \cos ^{2} \theta=0, \quad \theta \in[0, \pi)
$$

The only solution is $\theta=\pi / 2$ and there is exactly one asymptotic direction at $p$. On the other hand, if If $k_{1}(p)=0, k_{2}(p)<0$, then equation $k_{n}(p)=0$ becomes

$$
k_{2}(p) \sin ^{2} \theta=0, \quad \theta \in[0, \pi)
$$

The only solution is $\theta=0$ and there is exactly one asymptotic direction at $p$.
Definition 2.65 Let $p \in S$. The Dupin indicatrix at $p$ is the set of vectors on $T_{p} S$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{w \in T_{p} S: I I_{p}(w)=-\left\langle d N_{p}(w), w\right\rangle= \pm 1\right\} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ an orthonormal basis on $T_{p} S$ (eigenvectors of $-d N_{p}$ ) corresponding to the two principal curvatures $k_{1}(p) \geq k_{2}(p)$. For $w \in T_{p} S$, we can express it as

$$
w=x e_{1}+y e_{2}=(r \cos \theta) e_{1}+(r \sin \theta) e_{2}, \quad r=|w|
$$

and obtain

$$
I I_{p}(w)=-\left\langle d N_{p}\left(x e_{1}+y e_{2}\right), x e_{1}+y e_{2}\right\rangle=k_{1} x^{2}+k_{2} y^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I_{p}(w) & =-\left\langle d N_{p}\left((r \cos \theta) e_{1}+(r \sin \theta) e_{2}\right),(r \cos \theta) e_{1}+(r \sin \theta) e_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =r^{2}\left(k_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+k_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)=r^{2} I I_{p}(v), \quad \text { where } \quad v=\frac{w}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the Dupin indicatrix is described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1} x^{2}+k_{2} y^{2}= \pm 1 \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a symmetric quadratic curve on the plane $T_{p} S$.
Similar to Lemma 2.64, we have:
Lemma 2.66 We have the following:

1. If $p \in S$ is an elliptic point $\left(k_{1}(p) k_{2}(p)>0\right)$, the Dupin indicatrix is an ellipse on $T_{p} S$. Moreover, if $k_{1}(p)=k_{2}(p)$, it is a circle.
2. If $p \in S$ is a hyperbolic point $\left(k_{1}(p) k_{2}(p)<0\right)$, the Dupin indicatrix is a hyperbola and its two asymptotes are pointing to the two asymptotic directions at $p$.
3. If $p \in S$ is a parabolic point $\left(k_{1}(p) k_{2}(p)=0\right.$, but one of them is nonzero), the Dupin indicatrix is a pair of parallel lines pointing to the asymptotic direction at p.

Proof. (1) is obvious.
For (2), it suffices to verify the last statement. The hyperbola satisfies the equation

$$
k_{1}(p) x^{2}+k_{2}(p) y^{2}= \pm 1, \quad k_{1}(p)>0, \quad k_{2}(p)<0
$$

We can decompose it as

$$
\left(\sqrt{k_{1}(p)} x-\sqrt{-k_{2}(p)} y\right)\left(\sqrt{k_{1}(p)} x+\sqrt{-k_{2}(p)} y\right)= \pm 1
$$

and its two asymptotes are the two lines $L_{1}, L_{2}$ given by

$$
L_{1}: \sqrt{k_{1}(p)} x-\sqrt{-k_{2}(p)} y=0, \quad L_{2}: \sqrt{k_{1}(p)} x+\sqrt{-k_{2}(p)} y=0
$$

The line $L_{1}$ intersects the unit circle on $T_{p} S$ at $\left(\cos \theta_{0}, \sin \theta_{0}\right)$ on the first quadrant $(+,+)$ at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta_{0}=\sqrt{-\frac{k_{2}(p)}{k_{1}(p)-k_{2}(p)}}, \quad \sin \theta_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{k_{1}(p)}{k_{1}(p)-k_{2}(p)}} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the line $L_{2}$ intersects the unit circle on $T_{p} S$ at $\left(\cos \theta_{0}, \sin \theta_{0}\right)$ on the second quadrant $(-,+)$ at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta_{0}=-\sqrt{-\frac{k_{2}(p)}{k_{1}(p)-k_{2}(p)}}, \quad \sin \theta_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{k_{1}(p)}{k_{1}(p)-k_{2}(p)}} . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above two directions are asymptotic directions due to (75).
For (3), we may assume $k_{1}(p)>0, k_{2}(p)=0$. Now the Dupin indicatrix becomes

$$
k_{1}(p) x^{2}= \pm 1
$$

which is a pair of two parallel lines $x= \pm 1 / \sqrt{k_{1}(p)}$ pointing in the $y$-axis direction (the direction with $\theta=\pi / 2$, which is the only asymptotic direction at $p$ ).

Definition 2.67 Let $p \in S$ and $w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p} S$ are two nonzero vectors (may or may not be unit vectors). If we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right), w_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle w_{1}, d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right)\right\rangle=0 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

we say these two vectors are conjugate. The two directions $r_{1}, r_{2}$ given by $w_{1}\left(o r-w_{1}\right)$ and $w_{2}$ (or $-w_{2}$ ) are called conjugate directions.

Remark 2.68 (Be careful.) Be careful that the definition (80) implies $d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right) \perp w_{2}$ and $d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right) \perp$ $w_{1}$. However, in general, it does not imply that $d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1} w_{1}$ and $d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right)=\lambda_{2} w_{2}$ for some constants $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ (unless $w_{1} \perp w_{2}$ ). The vector $d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right)$ may have component in $w_{2}$ direction and the vector $d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right)$ may have component in $w_{1}$ direction.

Example 2.69 Assume at $p \in S$ we have $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ and both are not 0 . Then two principal directions are conjugate.

Example 2.70 If $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ but one them is 0 , say $k_{1}>0$ (with unit eigenvector $e_{1}$ ), $k_{2}=0$ (with unit eigenvector $e_{2}, e_{1} \perp e_{2}$ ), then for any nonzero vector $w \in T_{p} S$, the two vectors $w, e_{2} \in T_{p} S$ are conjugate due to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d N_{p}(w), e_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle w, d N_{p}\left(e_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\langle w, 0\rangle=0 . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2.71 An asymptotic direction (normal curvature zero direction) is conjugate to itself.
Example 2.72 If $k_{1}=k_{2} \neq 0$ (denote the common value as $\lambda, \lambda \neq 0$ ), any pair of orthogonal directions are conjugate. This is because $-d N_{p}=\lambda I$ and

$$
\left\langle d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right), w_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle-\lambda w_{1}, w_{2}\right\rangle=-\lambda\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}\right\rangle=0
$$

if and only if $\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}\right\rangle=0$. If $k_{1}=k_{2}=0$, any pair of directions are conjugate.
Lemma 2.73 (Conjugate directions in terms of polar coordinates.) Let $p \in S$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ is the orthonormal basis at $T_{p} S$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1} \wedge e_{2}=N(p), \quad-d N_{p}\left(e_{1}\right)=k_{1} e_{1}, \quad-d N_{p}\left(e_{2}\right)=k_{2} e_{2} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ are principal curvatures. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ be two directions and $\theta, \varphi$ are the angles from $e_{1}$ to $r_{1}, r_{2}$ respectively in the orientation of $T_{p} S$. Then $r_{1}, r_{2}$ are conjugate if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1} \cos \theta \cos \varphi+k_{2} \sin \theta \sin \varphi=0 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.74 If we replace $\theta$ by $\theta+\pi$ (or $\varphi$ by $\varphi+\pi$ ), the identity (83) still holds.
Proof. By the assumption, $r_{1}, r_{2}$ are conjugate if and only if the two vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}=(\cos \theta) e_{1}+(\sin \theta) e_{2}, \quad w_{2}=(\cos \varphi) e_{1}+(\sin \varphi) e_{2} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

are conjugate. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right), w_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle d N_{p}\left((\cos \theta) e_{1}+(\sin \theta) e_{2}\right),(\cos \varphi) e_{1}+(\sin \varphi) e_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =-k_{1} \cos \theta \cos \varphi-k_{2} \sin \theta \sin \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\left\langle d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right), w_{2}\right\rangle=0$ if and only if (83) holds.

Lemma 2.75 (Conjugate directions in terms of Euclidean coordinates.) Same assumption as in Lemma 2.73 with $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$. Assume the direction $r_{1}$ is given by some nonzero vector $w_{1}=$ $x e_{1}+y e_{2} \in T_{p} S$ and the direction $r_{2}$ is given by another nonzero vector $w_{2}=\tilde{x} e_{1}+\tilde{y} e_{2} \in T_{p} S$. Then $r_{1}, r_{2}$ are conjugate if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1} x \tilde{x}+k_{2} y \tilde{y}=0 \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for any nonzero vector $w_{1}=x e_{1}+y e_{2} \in T_{p} S$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{2}=\left(-k_{2} y\right) e_{1}+\left(k_{1} x\right) e_{2} \in T_{p} S \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a nonzero vector, then $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are conjugate.
Remark 2.76 The above lemma is still correct if $k_{1}=k_{2} \neq 0$. In case $k_{1}>0, k_{2}=0$, (85) becomes $k_{1} x \tilde{x}=0$. In such a case, any nonzero ( $0, y$ ) and any nonzero ( $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$ ) are conjugate. See Example 2.69.

Proof. We can write $w_{1}=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ and $w_{2}=\sqrt{\tilde{x}^{2}+\tilde{y}^{2}}(\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$. By (83), they are conjugate if and only if

$$
k_{1} \cos \theta \cos \varphi+k_{2} \sin \theta \sin \varphi=0
$$

which is the same as

$$
k_{1}\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \cos \theta\right)\left(\sqrt{\tilde{x}^{2}+\tilde{y}^{2}} \cos \varphi\right)+k_{2}\left(\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \sin \theta\right)\left(\sqrt{\tilde{x}^{2}+\tilde{y}^{2}} \sin \varphi\right)=0
$$

i.e. if and only if (85) holds. In particular, the two nonzero vectors $(x, y),\left(-k_{2} y, k_{1} x\right)$ are conjugate. $\square$

Example 2.77 (Using Dupin indicatrix to find conjugate directions.) Using the property that any two nonzero vectors $w_{1}=(x, y), w_{2}=\left(-k_{2} y, k_{1} x\right)$ are conjugate to each other, one can explain the picture in the textbook, $p .152$ for the elliptic case. The construction is also valid for the hyperbolic case. Draw two pictures on blackboard (one for elliptic case and one for hyperbolic case).

### 2.1.7 Conclusion for Conjugate Directions.

Let $p \in S$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ is the orthonormal basis at $T_{p} S$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1} \wedge e_{2}=N(p), \quad-d N_{p}\left(e_{1}\right)=k_{1} e_{1}, \quad-d N_{p}\left(e_{2}\right)=k_{2} e_{2} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are principal curvatures. Let $r_{1}$ be a direction on $T_{p} S$ given by $w_{1}=(\cos \theta) e_{1}+$ $(\sin \theta) e_{2}$. By Lemma 2.73, we can conclude the following:
(1). Assume $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ and $k_{1} k_{2}>0$ (i.e. $p \in S$ is an elliptic point) (without loss of generality, we may assume $k_{1}>0$ and $\left.k_{2}>0\right)$. In such a case the vector $\left(k_{1} \cos \theta, k_{2} \sin \theta\right) \neq(0,0)$ is nonzero and there is an unique conjugate direction $r_{2}$ given by (note that $-w_{2}$ also gives rise to the same direction)

$$
w_{2}=(\cos \varphi) e_{1}+(\sin \varphi) e_{2}
$$

where the direction $(\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi)$ is perpendicular to the direction $\left(k_{1} \cos \theta, k_{2} \sin \theta\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1} \cos \theta \cos \varphi+k_{2} \sin \theta \sin \varphi=0 \text { (same as }\binom{k_{1} \cos \theta}{k_{2} \sin \theta} \cdot\binom{\cos \varphi}{\sin \varphi}=0 \text { ). } \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the direction $r_{2}$ is different from $r_{1}$ (due to $k_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+k_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta>0$ ). In particular, if $r_{1}$ is the principal direction $e_{1}$ (same as $\theta=0$ ), then the identity (88) is the same as $\cos \varphi=0$ (i.e. $\varphi=\frac{\pi}{2}$ ) and we have $r_{2}$ is the principal direction $e_{2}$. Similarly, if $r_{1}$ is the principal direction $e_{2}$, then $r_{2}$ is the principal direction $e_{1}$.
(2). If $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ and $k_{1} k_{2}<0$ (i.e. $p \in S$ is a hyperbolic point), then we have the same conclusion as in (1), but the direction $r_{2}$ may be the same as $r_{1}$ if we have

$$
k_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+k_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta=0\left(\text { note that } k_{1}>0, k_{2}<0\right),
$$

which means that $r_{1}$ is an asymptotic direction. Therefore, as long as $r_{1}$ is not an asymptotic direction, the conjugate direction $r_{2}$ is unique and different from $r_{1}$. But if $r_{1}$ is an asymptotic direction, the only direction conjugate to $r_{1}$ is $r_{1}$ itself. Finally, if $r_{1}$ is the principal direction $e_{1}\left(e_{2}\right)$, then $r_{2}$ is the principal direction $e_{2}\left(e_{1}\right)$.
(3). If $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ and $k_{1} k_{2}=0$ (i.e. $p \in S$ is a parabolic point) (without loss of generality, we may assume $k_{1}>0, k_{2}=0$ ), then the identity (88) is the same as $\cos \theta \cos \varphi=0$. Therefore, if $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ (same as $w_{1}=e_{2}$, eigenvector for eigenvalue $k_{2}=0$ ), then any nonzero vector $w_{2} \in T_{p} S$ is conjugate to $r_{1}$. But if $\theta \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$ (same as $w_{1} \neq e_{2}$ ), then we have $\cos \varphi=0$ and the only direction conjugate to $r_{1}$ is the eigenvector direction $e_{2}$.

Therefore, for a parabolic point, we have the property: if two nonzero vectors $w_{1}, w_{2} \in$ $T_{p} S$ are conjugate to each other, then one of them must be pointing to the eigenvector direction $e_{2}$, where $k_{2}=0$.
(4). If $k_{1}=k_{2}=\lambda \neq 0$, then we have $-d N_{p}=\lambda I, \lambda \neq 0$, and for any nonzero vectors $w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p} S$, we have

$$
\left\langle d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right), w_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle-\lambda w_{1}, w_{2}\right\rangle=-\lambda\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}\right\rangle
$$

Therefore, $w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p} S$ are conjugate if and only if $\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}\right\rangle=0$.
(5). If $k_{1}=k_{2}=\lambda=0$, then $-d N_{p}=0$ and any pair of directions are conjugate.

### 2.1.8 Three Interesting Identities Related to Gauss Curvature and Mean Curvature.

Lemma 2.78 Let $p \in S$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ be the orthonormal basis at $T_{p} S$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1} \wedge e_{2}=N(p), \quad-d N_{p}\left(e_{1}\right)=k_{1} e_{1}, \quad-d N_{p}\left(e_{2}\right)=k_{2} e_{2} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are principal curvatures. We have:

1. If $H(p)=0$ with $k_{1}(p)>0, k_{2}(p)<0$, we have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right), d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right)\right\rangle=-K(p)\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}\right\rangle, \quad \forall w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p}(S) \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(p)<0$ is the Gauss curvature at $p$. In particular, if we assume $H \equiv 0$ on $S$ with $k_{1}>0, k_{2}<0$ everywhere, then the angle of two intersecting curves on $S$ and the angle of their spherical images are equal up to a sign (in such a case, for any $p \in S, d N_{p}$ is a conformal map because it preserves angles).
2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right) \wedge d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right)=K(p)\left(w_{1} \wedge w_{2}\right), \quad \forall w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p}(S) \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right) \wedge w_{2}+w_{1} \wedge d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right)=-2 H(p)\left(w_{1} \wedge w_{2}\right), \quad \forall w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p}(S) \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.79 In the case when we have $w_{1} \wedge w_{2}=N$, then (91) and (92) give the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(p)=\left\langle d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right) \wedge d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right), N(p)\right\rangle \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 H(p)=\left\langle d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right) \wedge w_{2}+w_{1} \wedge d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right), N(p)\right\rangle . \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We prove (2) and (3) first. For any $w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p}(S)$, we can express them as

$$
w_{1}=a_{1} e_{1}+a_{2} e_{2}, \quad w_{2}=b_{1} e_{1}+b_{2} e_{2} \in T_{p}(S)
$$

for some constants $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$. We have

$$
w_{1} \wedge w_{2}=\left(a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right) e_{1} \wedge e_{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right) \wedge d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(-a_{1} k_{1} e_{1}-a_{2} k_{2} e_{2}\right) \wedge\left(-b_{1} k_{1} e_{1}-b_{2} k_{2} e_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(a_{1} k_{1} e_{1}+a_{2} k_{2} e_{2}\right) \wedge\left(b_{1} k_{1} e_{1}+b_{2} k_{2} e_{2}\right)=\left(a_{1} k_{1} b_{2} k_{2}-a_{2} k_{2} b_{1} k_{1}\right) e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \\
& =k_{1} k_{2}\left(a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right) e_{1} \wedge e_{2}=K(p)\left(w_{1} \wedge w_{2}\right), \quad \forall w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p}(S)
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right) \wedge w_{2}+w_{1} \wedge d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(-a_{1} k_{1} e_{1}-a_{2} k_{2} e_{2}\right) \wedge\left(b_{1} e_{1}+b_{2} e_{2}\right)+\left(a_{1} e_{1}+a_{2} e_{2}\right) \wedge\left(-b_{1} k_{1} e_{1}-b_{2} k_{2} e_{2}\right) \\
& =\left[-a_{1} k_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} k_{2} b_{1}+a_{1}\left(-b_{2} k_{2}\right)+a_{2} b_{1} k_{1}\right] e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \\
& =\left[a_{1} b_{2}\left(-k_{1}-k_{2}\right)+a_{2} b_{1}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)\right] e_{1} \wedge e_{2}=-2 H(p)\left(a_{1} b_{2}-a_{2} b_{1}\right) e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \\
& =-2 H(p)\left(w_{1} \wedge w_{2}\right), \quad \forall w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p}(S) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For (1), we first have

$$
K(p)=k_{1}(p) k_{2}(p)=-k_{1}^{2}(p)=-k_{2}^{2}(p)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right), d N_{p}\left(w_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle-a_{1} k_{1} e_{1}-a_{2} k_{2} e_{2},-b_{1} k_{1} e_{1}-b_{2} k_{2} e_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =a_{1} b_{1} k_{1}^{2}+a_{2} b_{2} k_{2}^{2}=-\left(a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}\right) K(p)=-K(p)\left\langle w_{1}, w_{2}\right\rangle, \quad \forall w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p}(S),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K(p)<0$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|d N_{p}(w)\right|^{2}=-K(p)|w|^{2} \quad\left(\text { same as }\left|d N_{p}(w)\right|=\sqrt{-K(p)}|w|\right), \quad \forall w \in T_{p} S \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume $H \equiv 0$ on $S$ with $k_{1}>0, k_{2}<0$ everywhere, then for any two regular curves $\alpha(s), \beta(s)$ on $S$ with intersection point at $\alpha(0)=\beta(0)=q \in S$ with angle $\theta$, we have

$$
\left\langle d N_{q}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right), d N_{q}\left(\beta^{\prime}(0)\right)\right\rangle=-K(q)\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(0), \beta^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle
$$

where we know that

$$
d N_{q}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right)=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=0} N(\alpha(s)), \quad d N_{q}\left(\beta^{\prime}(0)\right)=\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=0} N(\beta(s))
$$

The intersection angle $\theta_{N}$ of the two spherical image curves $N(\alpha(s)), N(\beta(s))$ at $N(q)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos \theta_{N} & =\frac{\left\langle d N_{q}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right), d N_{q}\left(\beta^{\prime}(0)\right)\right\rangle}{\left|d N_{q}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right)\right|\left|d N_{q}\left(\beta^{\prime}(0)\right)\right|} \\
& =\frac{-K(q)\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(0), \beta^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle}{\sqrt{-K(q)}\left|\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right| \sqrt{-K(q)}\left|\beta^{\prime}(0)\right|}=\frac{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(0), \beta^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle}{\left|\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right|\left|\beta^{\prime}(0)\right|}=\cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $\theta_{N}= \pm \theta$. The proof is done.
2.1.9 The Geodesic Torsion for a Regular Curve on a Regular Surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ (this is Exercise 19 in p. 155).

Let $\alpha(s), s \in I$, be a regular curve on $S$. In the following, we shall compare the Frenet frame equations for the moving frame $\{t(s), n(s), b(s)\}$ along $\alpha(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and the differential equations for the surface moving frame $\left\{t(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s), N(s)\right\}$ along $\alpha(s) \in S$. Recall that $\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)$ is the intrinsic normal of $\alpha$ at $s$, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)=N(s) \wedge t(s)=N(s) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}(s), \quad s \in I \quad(N(s) \text { means } N(\alpha(s))) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (46), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
t^{\prime}(s) & =\underbrace{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)}=k(s) n(s)=\underbrace{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle} \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+\underbrace{\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), N(s)\right\rangle} N(s) \\
& =\underbrace{k_{g}(s) \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+k_{n}(s) N(s)}, \quad s \in I, \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{n}(s)$ is the geodesic curvature of $\alpha$ and $k_{n}(s)$ is the normal curvature of $\alpha$.
To continue, we would like to express $\{t(s), n(s), b(s)\}$ in terms of $\left\{t(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s), N(s)\right\}$. The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 2.80 We have the following expression:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n(s)=\underbrace{\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle} \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+\underbrace{\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle} N(s)  \tag{98}\\
b(s)=-\underbrace{\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle} \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+\underbrace{\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle}
\end{array} N(s) .\right.
$$

In terms of formal matrix notation we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
t(s)  \tag{99}\\
n(s) \\
b(s)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle & \langle n(s), N(s)\rangle \\
0 & -\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle & \left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
t(s) \\
\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s) \\
N(s)
\end{array}\right), \quad s \in I
$$

where the coefficient matrix is orthogonal.
Proof. The first identity in (98) is clear. For the second identity in (98), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(s) & =t(s) \wedge n(s)=t(s) \wedge[\underbrace{\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle} \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+\underbrace{\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle} N(s)] \\
& =\underbrace{\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle} N(s)-\underbrace{\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle} \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is done.
Next, we compute $N^{\prime}(s)$ (note that $N(s)$ means $N(\alpha(s))$ ) and can express it as

$$
N^{\prime}(s)=A(s) t(s)+Q(s) \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+C(s) N(s), \quad s \in I
$$

for some coefficients $A(s), Q(s), C(s)$ and we see that $C(s) \equiv 0\left(\right.$ since $\left\langle N^{\prime}(s), N(s)\right\rangle=0$ for all s) and

$$
A(s)=\left\langle N^{\prime}(s), t(s)\right\rangle=\left\langle N^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle=-\left\langle N(s), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\rangle=-k_{n}(s),
$$

which is the normal curvature. Hence we conclude (we will give $Q(s)$ a name later on)

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}(s)=-k_{n}(s) t(s)+Q(s) \mathbf{n}_{i n t}(s), \quad s \in I \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}^{\prime}(s) & =\frac{d}{d s}\left[N(s) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right]=N^{\prime}(s) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}(s)+N(s) \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s) \\
& =\left[-k_{n}(s) t(s)+Q(s) \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right] \wedge t(s)+N(s) \wedge\left[k_{g}(s) \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+k_{n}(s) N(s)\right] \\
& =-k_{g}(s) t(s)-Q(s) N(s), \quad s \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the following:
Definition 2.81 (See textbook p. 155.) The quantity $Q(s)$ is called the geodesic torsion of $\alpha$ at $\alpha(s)$ and denote it as $\tau_{g}(s)$. Note that we can also express it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{g}(s)=\left\langle N^{\prime}(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle=-\left\langle N(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle, \quad s \in I \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.82 (Important.) The geodesic torsion $\tau_{g}(s)$ is a new quantity. It cannot be expressed in terms of $k_{n}(s)$ and $k_{g}(s)$. Note that if we do the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{g}(s) & =\left\langle N^{\prime}(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle=-\left\langle N(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle=-\left\langle N(s), \frac{d}{d s}(N(s) \wedge t(s))\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle N(s), N^{\prime}(s) \wedge t(s)+N(s) \wedge t^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle=-\left\langle N(s), N^{\prime}(s) \wedge t(s)\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle N(s),\left[-k_{n}(s) t(s)+\tau_{g}(s) \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right] \wedge t(s)\right\rangle=-\left\langle N(s), \tau_{g}(s) \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s) \wedge t(s)\right\rangle=\tau_{g}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

we get nothing useful at all.
We can summarize the following:
Lemma 2.83 (Moving frame equations on $S$.) The surface moving frame $\left\{t(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s), N(s)\right\}$ along $\alpha(s) \in S$ (with normal $N(s)$ ) satisfies the equation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
t^{\prime}(s)  \tag{102}\\
\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}^{\prime}(s) \\
N^{\prime}(s)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & k_{g}(s) & k_{n}(s) \\
-k_{g}(s) & 0 & -\tau_{g}(s) \\
-k_{n}(s) & \tau_{g}(s) & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
t(s) \\
\mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s) \\
N(s)
\end{array}\right), \quad s \in I
$$

Remark 2.84 Compare with the Frenet frame equations for $\alpha(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
t^{\prime}(s)  \tag{103}\\
n^{\prime}(s) \\
b^{\prime}(s)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & k(s) & 0 \\
-k(s) & 0 & -\tau(s) \\
0 & \tau(s) & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
t(s) \\
n(s) \\
b(s)
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{c}
t(s) \\
n(s) \\
b(s)
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{9}
$$

The following lemma is about the relation between geodesic torsion and principal curvatures of $S$ :

Lemma 2.85 Let $p \in S$ and $\alpha(s) \in S$, $s \in I$, is a regular curve with $\alpha(0)=p$ and let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ be the orthonormal basis at $T_{p} S$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1} \wedge e_{2}=N(p), \quad-d N_{p}\left(e_{1}\right)=k_{1} e_{1}, \quad-d N_{p}\left(e_{2}\right)=k_{2} e_{2} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote the angle from $e_{1}$ to $t(0)=\alpha^{\prime}(0)$ by $\varphi$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{g}(0)=\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) \cos \varphi \sin \varphi \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (102), we have (note that $\left.N(0) \wedge e_{1}=e_{2}, N(0) \wedge e_{2}=-e_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{g}(0) & =\left\langle N^{\prime}(0), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(0)\right\rangle=\left\langle N^{\prime}(0), N(0) \wedge t(0)\right\rangle \\
& =\langle N^{\prime}(0), N(0) \wedge[\underbrace{(\cos \varphi) e_{1}+(\sin \varphi) e_{2}}]\rangle=\left\langle N^{\prime}(0),(\cos \varphi) e_{2}-(\sin \varphi) e_{1}\right\rangle \\
& =(\cos \varphi)\left\langle N^{\prime}(0), e_{2}\right\rangle-(\sin \varphi)\left\langle N^{\prime}(0), e_{1}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{\prime}(0) & =d N_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right)=d N_{p}(\underbrace{(\cos \varphi) e_{1}+(\sin \varphi) e_{2}}) \\
& =(\cos \varphi)\left(-k_{1} e_{1}\right)+(\sin \varphi)\left(-k_{2} e_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{g}(0) & =(\cos \varphi)\left\langle N^{\prime}(0), e_{2}\right\rangle-(\sin \varphi)\left\langle N^{\prime}(0), e_{1}\right\rangle \\
& =(\cos \varphi)(\sin \varphi)\left(-k_{2}\right)-(\sin \varphi)(\cos \varphi)\left(-k_{1}\right)=\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)(\cos \varphi)(\sin \varphi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.86 (Relation between torsion and geodesic torsion.) Let $p \in S$ and $\alpha(s) \in S, s \in$ $I$, is a regular curve with $\alpha(0)=p$. By (99), we have

$$
n(s)=\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle N(s), \quad s \in I
$$

and if we write it as

$$
n(s)=\underbrace{\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle} N(s)+\underbrace{\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle} \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s), \quad s \in I
$$

and let (i.e. the angle between $n(s)$ and $N(s)$ is denoted as $\theta(s)$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle=\cos \theta(s), \quad\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle=\sin \theta(s), \quad s \in I \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{\prime}(s)=\tau(s)-\tau_{g}(s), \quad s \in I, \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau(s)$ is the torsion of $\alpha(s)$ as a curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
Remark 2.87 If we let $\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle=\sin \theta(s)$, then $\theta^{\prime}(s)=\tau_{g}(s)-\tau(s), s \in I$.
Proof. By (102) and (103), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-\sin \theta(s)) \theta^{\prime}(s)=\frac{d}{d s}\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle=\left\langle n^{\prime}(s), N(s)\right\rangle+\left\langle n(s), N^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle \\
& =\langle-k(s) t(s)-\tau(s) b(s), N(s)\rangle+\left\langle n(s),-k_{n}(s) t(s)+\tau_{g}(s) \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle \\
& =-\tau(s)\langle b(s), N(s)\rangle+\tau_{g}(s)\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle \\
& =-\tau(s)\langle-\underbrace{\langle n(s), N(s)\rangle} \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)+\underbrace{\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle} N(s), N(s)\rangle+\tau_{g}(s)\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle \\
& =\underbrace{\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle}\left(\tau_{g}(s)-\tau(s)\right), \quad \text { where }\left\langle n(s), \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s)\right\rangle=\sin \theta(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is done.
Lemma 2.88 (Lines of curvature have zero geodesic torsion.) Let $p \in S$ and $\alpha(s) \in S, s \in$ $I$, is a regular curve with $\alpha(0)=p$. Then $\alpha(s)$ is a line of curvature if and only if $\tau_{g}(s) \equiv 0$ along the whole curve $\alpha(s), s \in I$.

Proof. $(\Longrightarrow)$ Assume $\alpha(s)$ is a line of curvature. We have

$$
N^{\prime}(s)=d N_{\alpha(s)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(s)\right)=\lambda(s) \alpha^{\prime}(s), \quad \forall s \in I
$$

for some function $\lambda(s)$ and by (102) we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime}(s)=-k_{n}(s) t(s)+\tau_{g}(s) \mathbf{n}_{\text {int }}(s), \quad s \in I \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the above two identities, we have $\tau_{g}(s) \equiv 0$ along the whole curve $\alpha(s), s \in I$.
$(\Longleftarrow)$ Assume $\tau_{g}(s) \equiv 0$ for all $s \in I$. By the identity (108), we must have $N^{\prime}(s)=-k_{n}(s) t(s)$ for all $s \in I$, which means that $\alpha(s)$ is a line of curvature.

### 2.2 The Gauss Map in Local Coordinates (this is Section 3-3 of the book).

The purpose of this section is to use local coordinates to study the second fundamental form and the differential of Gauss map. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a regular surface with an orientation $N$. All local parametrizations $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ of $S$ in this section are assumed to be compatible with the orientation $N$ of $S$. That is

$$
N(u, v)=N(\mathbf{x}(u, v))=\frac{\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|}
$$

for all $(u, v)$ in the domain of $\mathbf{x}$.
Let $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ be a parametrization near $p \in S$. Let $\alpha(t)=\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))$ be a curve in $S$ with $\alpha(0)=p$. In the following all computations are evaluated at the point $p$ and at $t=0$ unless otherwise stated. We have

$$
d N\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{u} u^{\prime}+\mathbf{x}_{v} v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{d}{d t} N(u, v)=N_{u} u^{\prime}+N_{v} v^{\prime}
$$

where $N(u, v)$ means $N(\mathbf{x}(u, v))$ and we know that $d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}\right)=N_{u}, d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}\right)=N_{v}$.
Since $N_{u}, N_{v} \in T_{p} S$, we can write

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N_{u}=d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}\right)=a_{11} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{21} \mathbf{x}_{v},  \tag{109}\\
N_{v}=d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}\right)=a_{12} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{22} \mathbf{x}_{v}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some constants $a_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. The above means that the matrix representation $M$ for the linear map $d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$, with respect to the basis $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\}$, is given by

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12}  \tag{110}\\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d N\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) & =d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{u} u^{\prime}+\mathbf{x}_{v} v^{\prime}\right)=\left(a_{11} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{21} \mathbf{x}_{v}\right) u^{\prime}+\left(a_{12} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{22} \mathbf{x}_{v}\right) v^{\prime} \\
& =\left(a_{11} u^{\prime}+a_{12} v^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{x}_{u}+\left(a_{21} u^{\prime}+a_{22} v^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{x}_{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, in terms of matrix formulation, is

$$
M\binom{u^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12}  \tag{111}\\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{u^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}} .
$$

Remark 2.89 Note that the matrix representation $M$ for $d N_{p}$ with respect to the basis $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\}$ is not necessarily symmetric even if $d N_{p}$ is self-adjoint. The matrix $M$ is symmetric if $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\}$ is orthonormal due to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}\right), \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{11} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{21} \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle=a_{21}, \\
\left\langle d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}\right), \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{12} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{22} \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle=a_{12} \\
\left\langle d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}\right), \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle=\left\langle d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}\right), \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now we look at an example: let $T(x, y)=(2 x,-3 y): \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a self-adjoint linear map and choose the non-orthonormal basis $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}=\{(1,0),(1,1)\}$. We have

$$
T v_{1}=2 v_{1}, \quad T v_{2}=5 v_{1}-3 v_{2}
$$

Therefore, the matrix representation for $T: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with respect to $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ is

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 5 \\
0 & -3
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is not symmetric.
Now we compare two different ways to express $I I_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$, where $\alpha^{\prime}=\mathbf{x}_{u} u^{\prime}+\mathbf{x}_{v} v^{\prime}$.

## First way:

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
I I_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) & =-\left\langle d N\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right), \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\langle\underbrace{N_{u} u^{\prime}+N_{v} v^{\prime}}, \mathbf{x}_{u} u^{\prime}+\mathbf{x}_{v} v^{\prime}\rangle \\
& =\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e & f \\
f & g
\end{array}\right)\binom{u^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}}=e\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 f u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+g\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2} \tag{112}
\end{align*}
$$

where the quantities $e, f, g$ are given by

$$
\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e & f  \tag{113}\\
f & g
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\left\langle N_{u},\right. & \left.\mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle & -\left\langle N_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle \\
-\left\langle N_{v},\right. & \left.\mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle & -\left\langle N_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle N, & \left.\mathbf{x}_{u u}\right\rangle & \langle N, \\
\left.\mathbf{x}_{v u}\right\rangle \\
\langle N, & \left.\mathbf{x}_{u v}\right\rangle & \langle N,
\end{array} \mathbf{x}_{v v}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

By (112), we conclude the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{p}\left(A \mathbf{x}_{u}+B \mathbf{x}_{v}\right)=e A^{2}+2 f A B+g B^{2}, \quad \forall \text { constants } A, B \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.90 If $\mathbf{x}(u, v): U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a local parametrization at $p \in S$ with basis $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\}$, then the above three quantities e, f,g are called the coefficients of the second fundamental form with respect to the basis $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\}$ on $T_{p} S$.

Remark 2.91 Similar to $E, F, G$, the three quantities $e, f, g$ are differentiable functions on their domain. For computational purpose, it is easier to use the formula

$$
e=\left\langle N, \mathbf{x}_{u u}\right\rangle, \quad f=\left\langle N, \mathbf{x}_{u v}\right\rangle, \quad g=\left\langle N, \mathbf{x}_{v v}\right\rangle
$$

to find $e, f, g$.
Remark 2.92 The first-derivative vectors $\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}, N_{u}, N_{v}$ are tangential, but their second derivatives can point to any directions in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

## Second way:

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I_{p}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right) & =-\left\langle d N\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right), \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =-\langle\underbrace{\left(a_{11} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{21} \mathbf{x}_{v}\right) u^{\prime}+\left(a_{12} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{22} \mathbf{x}_{v}\right) v^{\prime}}, \mathbf{x}_{u} u^{\prime}+\mathbf{x}_{v} v^{\prime}\rangle \\
& =-\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left\langle a_{11} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{21} \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle & \left\langle a_{11} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{21} \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle a_{12} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{22} \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle & \left\langle a_{12} \mathbf{x}_{u}+a_{22} \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right)\binom{u^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}} \\
& =-\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{21} \\
a_{12} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)\binom{u^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\{E, F, G\}$ are the coefficients of the first fundamental form. Thus, by comparison, the coefficients $e, f, g$ of the second fundamental form and the coefficients $E, F, G$ of the first fundamental form are related by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e & f  \tag{115}\\
f & g
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{21} \\
a_{12} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{21}  \tag{116}\\
a_{12} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e & f \\
f & g
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)^{-1}
$$

We call (116) the equations of Weingarten.
By

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\triangle}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
G & -F \\
-F & E
\end{array}\right), \quad \triangle=E G-F^{2}>0
$$

we can express $a_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, in (116) explicitly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{11}=\frac{f F-e G}{\triangle}, \quad a_{12}=\frac{g F-f G}{\triangle}, \quad a_{21}=\frac{e F-f E}{\triangle}, \quad a_{22}=\frac{f F-g E}{\triangle} . \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.93 By (117), we see that $a_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, are differentiable functions on their domain.

Remark 2.94 Note that in (116) both matrices on the right hand side are symmetric. But the product of two symmetric matrices are not symmetric in general.

Remark 2.95 We always have $E G-F^{2}>0$, but we do not have eg $-f^{2}>0$ in general.
Since the determinant of $-d N_{p}$ (same as the determinant of $d N_{p}$ ) is the Gauss curvature $K$ of $S$ at $p$, we immediately have

$$
K=k_{1} k_{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{11} & a_{12}  \tag{118}\\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{e g-f^{2}}{E G-F^{2}} .
$$

Note that, in general, $e g-f^{2}$ may not be positive. We also have the mean curvature $H$ of $S$ at $p$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(-d N_{p}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{11}+a_{22}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{e G-2 f F+g E}{E G-F^{2}} . \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.96 One can also use e, $f, g$ to classify the following: Let $p \in S$. We have:

1. $p$ is an elliptic point if $e g-f^{2}>0$.
2. $p$ is a hyperbolic point if $e g-f^{2}<0$.
3. $p$ is a parabolic point if eg $-f^{2}=0$, but at least one of $e, f, g$ is not zero.
4. $p$ is a planar point if $e=f=g=0$ is zero.

Proof. 1 and 2 are clear. For 3 and 4 , if $d N_{p}=0$, we have $N_{u}=N_{v}=0$, which implies $e=f=g=$ 0 due to (113). Conversely, if $e=f=g=0$, then by (116) we have $a_{i j}=0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, which implies $d N_{p}=0$. From this observation, 3 and 4 are clear.

Lemma 2.97 The two principal curvatures $k_{1}, k_{2}$ at $p \in S$ are given by

$$
k_{1}=H+\sqrt{H^{2}-K}, \quad k_{1}=H-\sqrt{H^{2}-K} .
$$

where $K$ is the Gauss curvature of $S$ at $p$ and $H$ is the mean curvature of $S$ at $p$. In particular, we see that $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are continuous functions on their domain and differentiable except at umbilical points (where $H^{2}=K$ ).

Proof. Since $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are eigenvalues of $-d N_{p}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(-d N_{p}-k_{i} I\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(d N_{p}+k_{i} I\right)=0, \quad i=1,2
$$

i.e. $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are the two roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(-d N_{p}-\lambda I\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11}+\lambda & a_{21} \\
a_{12} & a_{22}+\lambda
\end{array}\right)=\lambda^{2}-2 H \lambda+K=0
$$

The result follows.
Example 2.98 Consider the parametrization of the torus given by ( $a>r>0$ )

$$
\mathbf{x}(u, v)=((a+r \cos u) \cos v,(a+r \cos u) \sin v, r \sin u), \quad 0<u<2 \pi, \quad 0<v<2 \pi .
$$

We compute

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{x}_{u}=(-r \sin u \cos v,-r \sin u \sin v, r \cos u) \\
\mathbf{x}_{v}=(-(a+r \cos u) \sin v,(a+r \cos u) \cos v, 0) \\
\mathbf{x}_{u u}=(-r \cos u \cos v,-r \cos u \sin v,-r \sin u) \\
\mathbf{x}_{u v}=(r \sin u \sin v,-r \sin u \cos v, 0) \\
\mathbf{x}_{v v}=(-(a+r \cos u) \cos v,-(a+r \cos u) \sin v, 0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and get

$$
E=\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{u}\right\rangle=r^{2}, \quad F=\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle=0, \quad G=\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{v}\right\rangle=(a+r \cos u)^{2}
$$

Also we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e & =\left\langle N, \mathbf{x}_{u u}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|}\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{u u}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{E G-F^{2}}} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{u u}\right)=\frac{r^{2}(a+r \cos u)}{r(a+r \cos u)}=r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
f=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{u v}\right)}{r(a+r \cos u)}=0, \quad g=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{v v}\right)}{r(a+r \cos u)}=(a+r \cos u) \cos u .
$$

Hence the Gauss curvature $K(u, v)$ at the point $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(u, v)=\frac{e g-f^{2}}{E G-F^{2}}=\frac{\cos u}{r(a+r \cos u)}, \quad 0<u<2 \pi \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (120), we can easily locate elliptic points, hyperbolic points and parabolic points on the torus. See the picture in p. 160 of the book.

Proposition 2.99 (This is Proposition 1 in p. 160.) Let $p \in S$ be an elliptic point. Then there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $p$ in $S$ such that all points in $V$ belong to the same side of $T_{p} S$. If $p \in S$ is a hyperbolic point, then in each neighborhood of $p$ there exist points of $S$ in both sides of $T_{p} S$.

Remark 2.100 (Important.) There is no result similar to the above proposition for a parabolic or planar point.

Proof. We use Taylor series expansion. Let $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ be a parametrization near $p$ with $\mathbf{x}(0,0)=$ $p$. The distance from the point $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ to the tangent plane $T_{p} S$ is given by

$$
d(u, v)=\langle\mathbf{x}(u, v)-\mathbf{x}(0,0), N(p)\rangle .
$$

By Taylor series expansion (for vector-valued functions), we have

$$
\mathbf{x}(u, v)=\mathbf{x}(0,0)+\mathbf{x}_{u} u+\mathbf{x}_{v} v+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u u} u^{2}+2 \mathbf{x}_{u v} u v+\mathbf{x}_{v v} v^{2}\right)+\bar{R}
$$

where the derivatives are evaluated at $(0,0)$ and $\bar{R}=\bar{R}(u, v)$ satisfies (note that $\bar{R}$ is a vector)

$$
\lim _{(u, v) \rightarrow(0,0)} \frac{\bar{R}}{u^{2}+v^{2}}=0
$$

Now we can plug the above into $d(u, v)$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
d(u, v) & =\langle\mathbf{x}_{u} u+\mathbf{x}_{v} v+\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u u} u^{2}+2 \mathbf{x}_{u v} u v+\mathbf{x}_{v v} v^{2}\right)}+\bar{R}, N(p)\rangle \\
& =\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\left(e u^{2}+2 f u v+g v^{2}\right)}+R=\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} I I_{p}(w)}+R \tag{121}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w=\mathbf{x}_{u} u+\mathbf{x}_{v} v \in T_{p} S$ and

$$
I I_{p}(w)=-\left\langle d N_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u} u+\mathbf{x}_{v} v\right), \mathbf{x}_{u} u+\mathbf{x}_{v} v\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left(e u^{2}+2 f u v+g v^{2}\right)
$$

and $R=\langle\bar{R}, N(p)\rangle$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{(u, v) \rightarrow(0,0)} \frac{R}{u^{2}+v^{2}}=0 \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can rewrite (121) as (we may assume $w \neq 0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(u, v)=|w|^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} I I_{p}\left(\frac{w}{|w|}\right)+\frac{R}{|w|^{2}}\right), \quad \frac{w}{|w|} \text { is unit vector } \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that

$$
I I_{p}\left(\frac{w}{|w|}\right)=\text { normal curvature along direction } \frac{w}{|w|} \in T_{p} S
$$

Moreover, we also have (see (122) and Remark 2.101 below)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{(u, v) \rightarrow(0,0)} \frac{R}{|w|^{2}}=\lim _{(u, v) \rightarrow(0,0)} \frac{R}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} u+\mathbf{x}_{v} v\right|^{2}} \\
& =\lim _{(u, v) \rightarrow(0,0)}\left(\frac{u^{2}+v^{2}}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} u+\mathbf{x}_{v} v\right|^{2}} \frac{R}{u^{2}+v^{2}}\right)=0 \tag{124}
\end{align*}
$$

If $p$ is an elliptic point (assume that $k_{1}(p) \geq k_{2}(p)>0$ ), then

$$
I I_{p}\left(\frac{w}{|w|}\right) \geq k_{2}(p)>0 \quad \text { for any nonzero } w \in T_{p} S
$$

Hence for $|w| \neq 0$ small enough, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} I I_{p}\left(\frac{w}{|w|}\right)+\frac{R}{|w|^{2}} \geq k_{2}(p)+\frac{R}{|w|^{2}}>0 \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., for all $(u, v)$ close to $(0,0)$, we have $d(u, v)>0$. Thus all such $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ lies on the same side of $T_{p} S$.

If $p$ is a hyperbolic point with $k_{1}(p)>0$ and $\left.k_{2}(p)<0\right)$, then there exist $(u, v)$ and $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ such that $d(u, v)>0$ and $d(\bar{u}, \bar{v})<0$. Hence $(u, v)$ and $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ lie on different sides of $T_{p} S$. The proof is done.

Remark 2.101 This is to explain that the quantity $\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right) /\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} u+\mathbf{x}_{v} v\right|^{2}$ stays bounded as $(u, v) \rightarrow(0,0)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u^{2}+v^{2}}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} u+\mathbf{x}_{v} v\right|^{2}}=\frac{u^{2}+v^{2}}{u^{2}\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v)\right|^{2}+2 u v\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right\rangle+v^{2}\left|\mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right|^{2}} \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as $(u, v) \rightarrow(0,0)$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v)\right|^{2} \rightarrow\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)\right|^{2}, \quad\left|\mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right|^{2} \rightarrow\left|\mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right|^{2} \\
\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0), \mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right\rangle .
\end{array}\right.
$$

If we write $(u, v)$ as $(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{u^{2}+v^{2}}{u^{2}\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v)\right|^{2}+2 u v\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(u, v), \mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right\rangle+v^{2}\left|\mathbf{x}_{v}(u, v)\right|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{r^{2}}{\left(r^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}(*)\right|^{2}+\left(2 r^{2} \cos \theta \sin \theta\right)\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(*), \mathbf{x}_{v}(*)\right\rangle+\left(r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)\left|\mathbf{x}_{v}(*)\right|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(\cos ^{2} \theta\right)\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}(*)\right|^{2}+(2 \cos \theta \sin \theta)\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(*), \mathbf{x}_{v}(*)\right\rangle+\left(\sin ^{2} \theta\right)\left|\mathbf{x}_{v}(*)\right|^{2}}, \tag{127}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(*)=(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) . A s(u, v) \rightarrow(0,0)$, the denominator in (127) is like (the angle $\theta$ may not have a limit as $(u, v) \rightarrow(0,0)$, it will move around in the interval $[0,2 \pi])$

$$
(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)\right|^{2} & \left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0), \mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right\rangle  \tag{128}\\
\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0), \mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right\rangle & \left|\mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} .
$$

Note that the symmetric matrix

$$
A:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)\right|^{2} & \left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0), \mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right\rangle \\
\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0), \mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right\rangle & \left|\mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is positive-definite and so as $\theta$ runs over $[0,2 \pi]$, the minimal quantity in (128) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{v \in \mathbb{R}^{2},|v|=1}\langle A v, v\rangle=\lambda_{2}>0 \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{2}>0$ is the minimum eigenvalue of $A$. Hence we have

$$
(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0)\right|^{2} & \left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0), \mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right\rangle  \tag{130}\\
\left\langle\mathbf{x}_{u}(0,0), \mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right\rangle & \left|\mathbf{x}_{v}(0,0)\right|^{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \geq \lambda_{2}>0
$$

for all $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$. This will imply the assertion.
At a parabolic or planar point, there is no result similar to the above proposition. For simplicity, we only look at the case of a planar point. We can compare the following two examples.

Example 2.102 (This is Example 6 in p. 145.) (Read this example by yourself.) Consider the surface of revolution $S$ obtained by rotaing the curve $z=y^{4}$ about the $z$-axis. At the point $p=(0,0,0)$ we have $d N_{p}=0$. This is because each normal section of $S$ at $p$ has zero curvature (since the curve $z=y^{4}$ has zero curvature at $(0,0)$ ). Therefore, along any unit vector direction $v \in T_{p} S$, the normal curvature is $\left\langle-d N_{p}(v), v\right\rangle=0$. By Lemma 2.17, we have $d N_{p}=0$ and so $p$ is a planar point. For this example, the whole surface $S$ lies on one side of $T_{p} S$.
Example 2.103 (This is Example 2 in p. 161.) (Read this example by yourself.) Consider the Monkey Saddle parametrized by $\mathbf{x}(u, v)=(x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v))$, where

$$
x(u, v)=u, \quad y(u, v)=v, \quad z(u, v)=u^{3}-3 v^{2} u
$$

One can check that at the point $(0,0,0)$, the coefficients of the second fundamental form are $e=$ $f=g=0$ (due to $\mathbf{x}_{u u}=\mathbf{x}_{u v}=\mathbf{x}_{v v}=0$ at $p$ ). Hence it is a planar point. However, in any neighborhood of this point, there are points on both sides of the tangent plane at (0,0,0) (look at $\left.\mathbf{x}(u, u)=\left(u, u,-2 u^{3}\right), u \in(-\infty, \infty)\right)$. See picture in $p .162$.

### 2.2.1 The Differential Equations for the Asymptotic Curves and the Lines of Curvature.

The equation for asymptotic curves. Let $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ be a parametrization near $p=\mathbf{x}(0,0) \in S$ and let $e=e(u, v), f=f(u, v), g=g(u, v)$ be the coefficients of the second fundamental form. Let $\alpha(t)=\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t)), t \in I$, be a regular curve on $S$ which is an asymptotic curve. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{\alpha(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad t \in I \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that

$$
\begin{align*}
I I_{\alpha(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right) & =-\left\langle d N_{\alpha(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right), \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle N_{u} u^{\prime}+N_{v} v^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}_{u} u^{\prime}+\mathbf{x}_{v} v^{\prime}\right\rangle=e\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 f u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+g\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2} \tag{132}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, in local coordinates, the differential equation for an asymptotic curve $\mathbf{x}(u(t), v(t))$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 f u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+g\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0, \quad t \in I \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (133) is equivalent to (131).
Remark 2.104 Be careful that the identity

$$
\left\langle d N_{\alpha(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right), \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle=0
$$

does not imply that $d N_{\alpha(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)=0$. For example, we have the following

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 3
\end{array}\right), \quad\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 3
\end{array}\right)\binom{3}{-1}, \quad\binom{3}{-1}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Remark 2.105 (Important.) The equation (133) is independent of reparametrization of $\alpha(t)$. Therefore, (136) is valid regardless of whether $\alpha(t)$ is parametrized by arc length $s$ or not.

In particular, if $p \in S$ is a hyperbolic point (i.e. $e g-f^{2}<0<0$ at $p$ ), then Lemma 2.64 says that there are two asymptotic directions at $p$. By continuity we know $e g-f^{2}<0$ in some neighborhood of $p$ and all points on this neighborhood are hyperbolic and have two asymptotic directions. In such a case, we have the following interesting fact :

Lemma 2.106 Assume all points on some neighborhood $V$ around $p \in S$ are hyperbolic point. A necessary and sufficient condition for the coordinate curves $\left(u=u_{0}, v=v(t)\right.$ or $u=u(t)$, $v=v_{0}$ ) near $p$ to be asymptotic curves is $e=g=0$ in that neighborhood.
Proof. $(\Longrightarrow)$ If in some neighborhood $V$ of $p$ the two family of coordinate curves $u=u_{0}, v=$ $v(t)$ and $u=u(t), v=v_{0}$. are all asymptotic curves, then for the first case we have $u^{\prime} \equiv 0$ and the differential equation (133) is satisfied. Therefore, we obtain

$$
e\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 f u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+g\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=g\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0, \quad t \in I
$$

which implies $g=0$ on $V$. Similarly for the second case we have $e=0$ on $V$.
$(\Longleftarrow)$ If we have $e=g=0$ on $V$, then equation (133) becomes $2 f u^{\prime} v^{\prime}=0$, where we know $f \neq 0$ everywhere on $V$ (since all points of $V$ are hyperbolic). We see that any coordinate curve in $V$ can satisfy the equation $2 f u^{\prime} v^{\prime}=0$. The proof is done.

Remark 2.107 The above lemma says that if we can find $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ so that $e(u, v)=g(u, v)=0$ in a neighborhood near $p$, then all coordinate curves of $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ in that neighborhood are asymptotic curves.
Remark 2.108 Note that if eg $-f^{2}>0$ at $p$ (elliptic point), there is no asymptotic curve near p.

What happens for a parabolic point $p \in S$, i.e. $e g-f^{2}=0$ at $p$ (but not $e=f=g=0$ at $p)$. Unlike elliptic or hyperbolic point, a parabolic point can be isolated. In such a case, there is not much to discuss at all. However, if we have $e g-f^{2} \equiv 0$ on some neighborhood around $p$, we have:

Lemma 2.109 Assume all points on some neighborhood $V$ around $p \in S$ are parabolic points (for example, a cylinder). A necessary and sufficient condition for the coordinate curves $u=u_{0}$, $v=v(t), t \in I$, lying inside $V$ to be asymptotic curves is $e \neq 0$ (everywhere on $V$ ) and $g=f=0$ (everywhere on $V$ ).
Remark 2.110 If the coordinate curves have the form $u=u(t), v=v_{0}, t \in I$, then the condition becomes $g \neq 0$ (everywhere on $V$ ) and $e=f=0$ (everywhere on $V$ ).

Proof. By Lemma 2.64, we know there is exactly one asymptotic direction at each point of $V$. Assume the coordinate curve $u=u_{0}, v=v(t), t \in I$, is an asymptotic curve lying inside $V$, then by (133) we have (note that $v^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ everywhere, for simplicity, we may assume $v(t)=t, t \in I)$

$$
g\left(u_{0}, v(t)\right)\left(v^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}=0, \quad t \in I
$$

which gives $g\left(u_{0}, v(t)\right) \equiv 0$ for all $t \in I$ and so $g=0$ everywhere on $V$. Since we also have $e g-f^{2}=$ 0 everywhere on $V$, we must have $f=0$ everywhere on $V$. Moreover, since all points on $V$ are parabolic points, we must have $e \neq 0$ everywhere on $V$.

Conversely, if we have $e \neq 0, g=f=0$ everywhere on $V$, then the equation (133) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 f u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+g\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=e\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, any coordinate curve of the form $u=u_{0}, v=v(t), t \in I$, is an asymptotic curve as long as it lies inside $V$.

The equation for lines of curvature. We now turn to the differential equation for a line of curvature. For a line of curvature we have the condition

$$
d N_{\alpha(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)=\lambda(t) \alpha^{\prime}(t), \text { for all } t \in I, \text { for some function } \lambda(t),
$$

where $\alpha^{\prime}=\mathbf{x}_{u} u^{\prime}+\mathbf{x}_{v} v^{\prime}$ and by

$$
d N\binom{u^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{u^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}}
$$

we have

$$
d N_{\alpha(t)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)=\left(a_{11} u^{\prime}+a_{12} v^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{x}_{u}+\left(a_{21} u^{\prime}+a_{22} v^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{x}_{v}
$$

we conclude that $u^{\prime}(t)$ and $v^{\prime}(t)$ satisfy the $2 \times 2$ system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{f F-e G}{E G-F^{2}} u^{\prime}+\frac{g F-f G}{E G-F^{2}} v^{\prime}=\lambda u^{\prime} \ldots  \tag{1}\\
\frac{e F-f E}{E G-F^{2}} u^{\prime}+\frac{f F-g E}{E G-F^{2}} v^{\prime}=\lambda v^{\prime} \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

due to the identity

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{21} \\
a_{12} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{E G-F^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
e & f \\
f & g
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-G & F \\
F & -E
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The system (135) is not self-contained (which means we cannot solve it directly), so we need to eliminate the function $\lambda$. We consider (1) $\cdot v^{\prime}-(2) \cdot u^{\prime}$ and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f E-e F)\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+(g E-e G) u^{\prime} v^{\prime}+(g F-f G)\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may also be written as as

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2} & -u^{\prime} v^{\prime} & \left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}  \tag{137}\\
E & F & G \\
e & f & g
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

We call (136) the differential equation for a line of curvature. Note that in (136), the coefficients $E, F, G, e, f, g$ are all functions of $(u, v)$.

Remark 2.111 (Important.) The equation (136) is independent of reparametrization of $\alpha(t)$. Therefore, (136) is valid regardless of whether $\alpha(t)$ is parametrized by arc length $s$ or not.

We note the following:
Lemma 2.112 (Read this lemma by yourself.) The $2 \times 2$ system of equations (135) and the single equation (136) are equivalent.

Proof. If we have equation (136), it clearly imply the system (135).
Conversely, if (136) is satisfied, then we can infer

$$
\left(\frac{f F-e G}{E G-F^{2}} u^{\prime}+\frac{g F-f G}{E G-F^{2}} v^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}=\left(\frac{e F-f E}{E G-F^{2}} u^{\prime}+\frac{f F-g E}{E G-F^{2}} v^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime}
$$

and if $u^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ and $v^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$, we can write the above as

$$
\frac{1}{u^{\prime}}\left(\frac{f F-e G}{E G-F^{2}} u^{\prime}+\frac{g F-f G}{E G-F^{2}} v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{v^{\prime}}\left(\frac{e F-f E}{E G-F^{2}} u^{\prime}+\frac{f F-g E}{E G-F^{2}} v^{\prime}\right):=\lambda(t)
$$

which gives the system (135) if we use the above function as $\lambda(t)$. If $u^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ and $v^{\prime}(t)=0$, then (136) implies $(f E-e F)(u(t), v(t))=0$ and we can deduce the system (135) again using

$$
\lambda(t)=\frac{f F-e G}{E G-F^{2}}(u(t), v(t))
$$

Similarly, for $u^{\prime}(t)=0$ and $v^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$, then (136) implies $(g F-f G)(u(t), v(t))=0$ and we can deduce the system (135) again using

$$
\lambda(t)=\frac{f F-g E}{E G-F^{2}}(u(t), v(t))
$$

Thus we conclude that (135) is equivalent to (136).
We conclude:
Lemma 2.113 Assume all points on some neighborhood $V$ around $p$ are nonumbilical point. A necessary and sufficient condition for the coordinate curves $\left(u=u_{0}, v=v(t)\right.$ or $u=u(t)$, $v=v_{0}$ ) lying inside $V$ to be lines of curvature is $F=f=0$ on $V$.

Remark 2.114 In the above lemma, for the statement in the direction ( $\Longleftarrow$ ) we do not have to assume that $p \in S$ is a nonumbilical point.

Proof. For $(\Longleftarrow)$, assume $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ is a parametrization with the property $F=f \equiv 0$ on $V$. Then (136) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g E-e G) u^{\prime} v^{\prime}=0 \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence coordinate curves of $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ are lines of curvature. Note that for this part we do not need $p$ to be a nonumbilical point.

For $(\Longrightarrow)$, assume coordinate curves of a parametrization $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ are lines of curvature, then take $u^{\prime}=0, v^{\prime}=1$ and $u^{\prime}=1, v^{\prime}=0$ respectively in (136) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g F-f G=0 \quad \text { and } \quad f E-e F=0, \quad \text { respectively on } V . \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since all points on $V$ are nonumbilical and coordinate curves are lines of curvature (the tangent vectors $\mathrm{x}_{u}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{v}$ of coordinate curves are pointing to principal directions and the principal directions are perpendicular), we must have $\mathbf{x}_{u} \perp \mathbf{x}_{v}$ everywhere and so $F \equiv 0$ on $V$. The above identity (139) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f G=0 \quad \text { and } \quad f E=0 \tag{140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E>0$ and $G>0$ everywhere, we must have $f \equiv 0$ on $V$. The proof is done.
2.2.2 Gauss and Mean Curvature for Surfaces of Revolution (Example 4 in p. 163).

Let $C$ be a regular connected curve lying on $x z$-plane parametrized by arc length parameter $v \in(a, b)$ (here we use notation $v$ instead of $s$ ):

$$
(x, 0, z)=(\varphi(v), 0, \psi(v)), \quad v \in(a, b)
$$

where $\left(\varphi^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2}+\left(\psi^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2} \equiv 1$ and $\varphi(v)>0$ for all $v \in(a, b)$. Consider the surface of revolution $S$ generated by $C$ parametrized by

$$
\mathbf{x}(u, v)=(\varphi(v) \cos u, \varphi(v) \sin u, \psi(v)), \quad 0<u<2 \pi, \quad a<v<b
$$

where $\varphi(v)>0$.

The coefficients $E, F, G$ of the first fundamental form are

$$
E=\varphi^{2}(v), \quad F=0, \quad G=\left(\varphi^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2}+\left(\psi^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2}=1, \quad \sqrt{E G-F^{2}}=\varphi(v)
$$

We compute the coefficients $e, f, g$ of the second fundamental form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{E G-F^{2}}} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{u u}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\varphi(v)}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-\varphi(v) \sin u & \varphi(v) \cos u & 0 \\
\varphi^{\prime}(v) \cos u & \varphi^{\prime}(v) \sin u & \psi^{\prime}(v) \\
-\varphi(v) \cos u & -\varphi(v) \sin u & 0
\end{array}\right|=-\varphi(v) \psi^{\prime}(v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
f=\frac{1}{\sqrt{E G-F^{2}}} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{u v}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{E G-F^{2}}} \operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}, \mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{x}_{v v}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\varphi(v)}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
-\varphi(v) \sin u & \varphi(v) \cos u & 0 \\
\varphi^{\prime}(v) \cos u & \varphi^{\prime}(v) \sin u & \psi^{\prime}(v) \\
\varphi^{\prime \prime}(v) \cos u & \varphi^{\prime \prime}(v) \sin u & \psi^{\prime \prime}(v)
\end{array}\right|=\psi^{\prime}(v) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(v)-\psi^{\prime \prime}(v) \varphi^{\prime}(v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F=f=0$ (this fact remains true even if we do not use arc length parameter), we conclude that the parallels ( $v=$ const.) and the meridians ( $u=$ const.) are lines of curvature on $S$ (because they are coordinate curves). See Remark 2.114 also.

The Gauss curvature is

$$
\begin{align*}
K & =\frac{e g-f^{2}}{E G-F^{2}}=\frac{e g}{E G} \\
& =-\frac{\varphi(v) \psi^{\prime}(v) \cdot\left[\psi^{\prime}(v) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(v)-\psi^{\prime \prime}(v) \varphi^{\prime}(v)\right]}{\varphi^{2}(v)}=-\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(v)}{\varphi(v)} \tag{141}
\end{align*}
$$

where, for the last identity in (141), we have used the identity

$$
\frac{d}{d v}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2}+\left(\psi^{\prime}(v)\right)^{2}=2 \varphi^{\prime}(v) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(v)+2 \psi^{\prime}(v) \psi^{\prime \prime}(v) \equiv 0
$$

in the numerator. In particular, we conclude: $p \in S$ is a parabolic point if either one of the following occurs (but not both)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi^{\prime}(v)=0(\text { same as } e=0)  \tag{142}\\
\left.\psi^{\prime}(v) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(v)-\psi^{\prime \prime}(v) \varphi^{\prime}(v)=0 \quad(\text { same as } g=0 \text { or curvature of } C \text { is } 0)\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

However, if both of the above identities holds, then $p \in S$ is a planar point.
To find the principal curvatures, by the equations of Weingarten (note that $F=f=0$ ), we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{21} \\
a_{12} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e & f \\
f & g
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{e}{E} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{g}{G}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and see that the two eigenvalues $k_{1}, k_{2}$ of $-d N$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=\frac{e}{E}=\frac{-\varphi(v) \psi^{\prime}(v)}{\varphi^{2}(v)}=\frac{-\psi^{\prime}(v)}{\varphi(v)} \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}=\frac{g}{G}=\psi^{\prime}(v) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(v)-\psi^{\prime \prime}(v) \varphi^{\prime}(v) \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
K=\frac{e g}{E G}=-\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(v)}{\varphi(v)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} \frac{e G+g E}{E G}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\psi^{\prime}(v)}{\varphi(v)}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\psi^{\prime}(v) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(v)-\psi^{\prime \prime}(v) \varphi^{\prime}(v)\right] \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $k_{1}, k_{2}, K, H$ are all independent of $u$. They depend only on $v$. This is intuitively obvious.

To end this example, we state one more interesting result for general surfaces:
Lemma 2.115 If $\mathbf{x}(u, v),(u, v) \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, is a local parametrization of a regular surface $S \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (not necessarily a surface of revolution) with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(u, v)=f(u, v)=0, \quad \forall(u, v) \in U \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=\frac{e}{E}, \quad k_{2}=\frac{g}{G} \quad \text { on } \quad U \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ satisfies (146), the equations of Weingarten becomes

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{21} \\
a_{12} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e & f \\
f & g
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{e}{E} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{g}{G}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which means the $-d N$ has the two eigenvalues $\frac{e}{E}$ and $\frac{g}{G}$ and they are $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ respectively. The proof is done.

### 2.2.3 Gauss and Mean Curvature for Graphs (Example 5 in p. 165).

Assume that $S: z=h(x, y)$ is the graph of a differentiable function defined on some open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Clearly we can parametrize $S$ by

$$
\mathbf{x}(x, y)=(x, y, h(x, y)), \quad(x, y) \in U
$$

and get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{x}_{x}=\left(1,0, h_{x}\right), \quad \mathbf{x}_{y}=\left(0,1, h_{y}\right), \\
\mathbf{x}_{x x}=\left(0,0, h_{x x}\right), \quad \mathbf{x}_{x y}=\left(0,0, h_{x y}\right), \quad \mathbf{x}_{y y}=\left(0,0, h_{y y}\right) \\
E(x, y)=1+h_{x}^{2}, \quad F(x, y)=h_{x} h_{y}, \quad G(x, y)=1+h_{y}^{2} \\
E G-F^{2}=1+h_{x}^{2}+h_{y}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and then

$$
N(x, y)=\frac{\left(-h_{x},-h_{y}, 1\right)}{\left(1+h_{x}^{2}+h_{y}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
e=\left\langle N, \mathbf{x}_{x x}\right\rangle=\frac{h_{x x}}{\left(1+h_{x}^{2}+h_{y}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}  \tag{148}\\
f=\left\langle N, \mathbf{x}_{x y}\right\rangle=\frac{h_{x y}}{\left(1+h_{x}^{2}+h_{y}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
g=\left\langle N, \mathbf{x}_{y y}\right\rangle=\frac{h_{y y}}{\left(1+h_{x}^{2}+h_{y}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We conclude:

Lemma 2.116 The Gauss curvature and mean curvature of a graphic surface $z=h(x, y),(x, y) \in$ $U$, are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x, y)=\frac{e g-f^{2}}{E G-F^{2}}=\frac{h_{x x} h_{y y}-h_{x y}^{2}}{\left(1+h_{x}^{2}+h_{y}^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad(x, y) \in U \tag{149}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
H(x, y) & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{e G-2 f F+g E}{E G-F^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(1+h_{y}^{2}\right) h_{x x}-2 h_{x} h_{y}+\left(1+h_{x}^{2}\right) h_{y y}}{\left(1+h_{x}^{2}+h_{y}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}, \quad(x, y) \in U . \tag{150}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.2.4 Gauss and Mean Curvature for Graphs with Special Coordinates (continue Example 5 in p. 165).

At a point $p \in S$, it is possible to choose a coordinate system so that $T_{p} S$ is the $x y$-plane, $N$ is pointing in the positive $z$ direction, $p$ is the origin $(0,0,0)$, and near $p$, the surface $S$ is the graph of a function $z=h(x, y),(x, y) \in U$, where $h$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(0,0)=0, \quad h_{x}(0,0)=0, \quad h_{y}(0,0)=0 . \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can see Exercise 26 in p. 93 for the above properties.
Now if we use the above-mentioned parametrization $\mathbf{x}(x, y)=(x, y, h(x, y)),(x, y) \in U$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(0,0)=1, \quad F(0,0)=0, \quad G(0,0)=1 \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(0,0)=h_{x x}(0,0), \quad f(0,0)=h_{x y}(0,0), \quad g(0,0)=h_{y y}(0,0) \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the equations of Weingarten, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{21} \\
a_{12} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
e & f \\
f & g
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)^{-1} \\
& =-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
e & f \\
f & g
\end{array}\right)=-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h_{x x}(0,0) & h_{x y}(0,0) \\
h_{x y}(0,0) & h_{y y}(0,0)
\end{array}\right) . \tag{154}
\end{align*}
$$

So the $\operatorname{map} d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ with respect to the orthonormal basis $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{x}, \mathbf{x}_{y}\right\}=\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$ of the $x y$-plane is given by

$$
-d N_{p}\binom{x}{y}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
h_{x x}(0,0) & h_{x y}(0,0)  \tag{155}\\
h_{x y}(0,0) & h_{y y}(0,0)
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y}
$$

and the second fundamental form $I I_{p}(v)=-\left\langle d N_{p}(v), v\right\rangle: T_{p} S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{p}(v)=h_{x x}(0,0) x^{2}+2 h_{x y}(0,0) x y+h_{y y}(0,0) y^{2}, \quad v=(x, y) \tag{156}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix in (155) is known as the Hessian matrix of $h$ at $(0,0)$. Note that at this moment we may not have $h_{x y}(0,0)=0$. However, we can make $h_{x y}(0,0)=0$ by a rotation in the $x y$-plane.

If $k_{1}=k_{2}$ (denote the common number as $k$ ) at $p$, then we must have $-d N_{p}=k I$ and we have

$$
h_{x x}(0,0)=k, \quad h_{x y}(0,0)=0, \quad h_{y y}(0,0)=k
$$

for the above orthonormal basis $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{x}, \mathbf{x}_{y}\right\}=\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$.
If $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$, the two principal directions $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ must be perpendicular to each other and we can rotate the above $x y$-plane so that the $x$ and $y$ axes are directed along the principal directions
$e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$. That is, after rotation, we have $e_{1}=(1,0)$ and $e_{2}=(0,1)$. Since they are eigenvectors of $-d N_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$, by (155), we have

$$
-d N_{p}\binom{1}{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
h_{x x}(0,0) & h_{x y}(0,0) \\
h_{x y}(0,0) & h_{y y}(0,0)
\end{array}\right)\binom{1}{0}=\binom{h_{x x}(0,0)}{h_{x y}(0,0)}=k_{1}\binom{1}{0}
$$

and

$$
-d N_{p}\binom{0}{1}=\binom{h_{x y}(0,0)}{h_{y y}(0,0)}=k_{2}\binom{0}{1}
$$

Hence we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{x x}(0,0)=k_{1}, \quad h_{x y}(0,0)=0, \quad h_{y y}(0,0)=k_{2} . \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (153) we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(0,0)=h_{x x}(0,0)=k_{1}, \quad f(0,0)=h_{x y}(0,0)=0, \quad g(0,0)=h_{y y}(0,0)=k_{2} \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix for $-d N_{p}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{x}, \mathbf{x}_{y}\right\}=\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$ is now diagonal, given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
h_{x x}(0,0) & h_{x y}(0,0) \\
h_{x y}(0,0) & h_{y y}(0,0)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
k_{1} & 0 \\
0 & k_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

By Taylor series expansion, the function $z=h(x, y)$ near $(0,0)$ has the form (note that we have (151))

$$
\begin{align*}
h(x, y) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{x x}(0,0) x^{2}+2 h_{x y}(0,0) x y+h_{y y}(0,0) y^{2}\right)+R(x, y) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{1} x^{2}+k_{2} y^{2}\right)+R(x, y) \tag{159}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R(x, y)$ satisfies

$$
\lim _{(x, y) \rightarrow(0,0)} \frac{R(x, y)}{x^{2}+y^{2}}=0
$$

### 2.2.5 Geometric Interpretation of the Gauss Curvature.

Let $S$ and $\tilde{S}$ be two orientable surfaces with orientation $N$ (differentiable unit normal vector field on $S$ ) and $\tilde{N}$ (differentiable unit normal vector field on $\tilde{S}$ ) respectively. Let $\varphi: S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be a differentiable map and assume at $p \in S$ the map $d \varphi_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{\varphi(p)} \tilde{S}$ is nonsingular.

Definition 2.117 We say the map $\varphi: S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ is orientation-preserving at $p$ if, for any positive basis $\{v, w\}$ on $T_{p} S$ (which means $v \wedge w$ is pointing in the direction of $N(p)$, i.e. $\operatorname{det}(v, w, N(p))>$ $0)$, the basis $\left\{d \varphi_{p}(v), d \varphi_{p}(w)\right\}$ on $T_{\varphi(p)} \tilde{S}$ is also positive on $T_{\varphi(p)} \tilde{S}$ (which means $d \varphi_{p}(v) \wedge d \varphi_{p}(w)$ is pointing in the direction of $\tilde{N}(\varphi(p))$, i.e. $\left.\operatorname{det}\left(d \varphi_{p}(v), d \varphi_{p}(w), \tilde{N}(\varphi(p))\right)>0\right)$ ). Otherwise, the $\operatorname{map} \varphi: S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ is called orientation-reversing at $p$, which means that $d \varphi_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{\varphi(p)} \tilde{S}$ maps some positive basis on $T_{p} S$ into negative basis on $T_{\varphi(p)} \tilde{S}\{v, w\}$.

Let $S$ be an orientable surface with orientation $N: S \rightarrow S^{2}$ and $d N_{p}$ is nonsingular at $p \in$ $S$. By the inverse function theorem, there is a small neighborhood $V \subset S$ around $p \in S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N: V \subset S \rightarrow N(V)(\text { denote it as } \tilde{V}) \subset S^{2} \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a diffeomorphism and either $K>0$ everywhere on $V$ or $K<0$ everywhere on $V$.
The Gauss map $N: V \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ will induce an orientation on $\tilde{V} \subset S^{2}$ (since we can identify $T_{N(p)} S^{2}$ as $T_{p} S$ and choose the orientation on $T_{N(p)} S^{2}$ to be the same as the orientation on $\left.T_{p} S\right)$. By the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{q}(v) \wedge d N_{q}(w)=K(q)(v \wedge w), \quad \forall q \in V, \quad v, w \in T_{q} S \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that if $K>0$ everywhere on $V$, then both $v \wedge w$ and $d N_{q}(v) \wedge d N_{q}(w)$ are pointing to the same direction. That is, if $\{v, w\}$ is positive on $T_{q} S$ (the domain space of $d N_{q}$ ), then $\left\{d N_{q}(v), d N_{q}(w)\right\}$ is also positive on $T_{q} S$ (the target space of $d N_{q}$ ). By definition, the Gauss map $N: V \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ is orientation-preserving at any $q \in V$. On the other hand, if $K<0$ everywhere on $V$, the Gauss $\operatorname{map} N: V \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ is orientation-reversing at any $q \in V$. Therefore, we conclude:

Lemma $2.118 N: V \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ is orientation-preserving (orientation-reversing) at all $q \in V$ if and only if $K>0(K<0)$ everywhere on $V$.

Remark 2.119 Let $\alpha(s) \in V$ (on $V$ there is an orientation $N$ ), $s \in I$, be a small simple closed curve enclosing $p$ in its interior and is counterclockwise, which means that when you walk along $\alpha(s) \in V$ in the length-increasing direction, the vector $\alpha^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}\left(s_{0}+\varepsilon\right)(\varepsilon>0$ is small) is pointing to the direction of $N\left(\alpha\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$ for all $s_{0} \in I$. Now by (161) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=s_{0}} N(\alpha(s)) \wedge \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=s_{0}+\varepsilon} N(\alpha(s)), \quad N(\alpha(s)) \in S^{2} \\
& =\left[d N_{\alpha\left(s_{0}\right)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right) \wedge d N_{\alpha\left(s_{0}+\varepsilon\right)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\left(s_{0}+\varepsilon\right)\right)\right] \approx K\left(\alpha\left(s_{0}\right)\right)\left[\alpha^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) \wedge \alpha^{\prime}\left(s_{0}+\varepsilon\right)\right] \tag{162}
\end{align*}
$$

If we have $K>0$ on $V$, then the vector $d N_{\alpha\left(s_{0}\right)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right) \wedge d N_{\alpha\left(s_{0}+\varepsilon\right)}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\left(s_{0}+\varepsilon\right)\right)$ is also pointing to the direction of $N\left(\alpha\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$ (note that $N\left(\alpha\left(s_{0}\right)\right) \in S^{2}$ and we choose the normal $N$ on $S^{2}$ at $N\left(\alpha\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$ as $N\left(\alpha\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$, i.e. $\left.N\left(N\left(\alpha\left(s_{0}\right)\right)\right)=N\left(\alpha\left(s_{0}\right)\right)\right)$. Therefore, the curve $N(\alpha(s))$ on $S^{2}$ also has counterclockwise orientation as $s$ is increasing. On the other hand, if $K<0$ on $V$, the curve $N(\alpha(s))$ on $S^{2}$ has the property that $\left.\left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=s_{0}} N(\alpha(s)) \wedge \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{s=s_{0}+\varepsilon} N(\alpha(s))$ is pointing to the direction of $-N\left(\alpha\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$. Therefore, the curve $N(\alpha(s))$ on $S^{2}$ has clockwise orientation as $s$ is increasing.

We use the convention that if $K>0$ in $V$, then the area of the set $N(V)$ in $S^{2}$ has a positive sign. While if $K<0$ in $V$, then the area of the set $N(V)$ in $S^{2}$ has a negative sign. Under this convention, $N(V)$ has a signed area.

With the above convention, we can state the following:
Proposition 2.120 (This is Proposition 2 in p. 169.) (Geometric meaning of the Gauss curvature.) Let $p \in S$ (with Gauss map $N: S \rightarrow S^{2}$ ) such that $K(p) \neq 0$, and $V$ be a connected neighborhood around $p \in S$ such that either $K>0$ in $V$ or $K<0$ in $V$ (here $N: V \rightarrow N(V)$ is a diffeomorphism). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(p)=\lim _{A \rightarrow 0} \frac{A_{\text {sign }}^{\prime}}{A} \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the area of the region $B \subset V$ containing $p, A_{\text {sign }}^{\prime}$ is the signed area of $N(B)$ in $S^{2}$, and the limit is taken through a sequence of regions $B_{n}$ that converges to $p$ in the sense that any sphere around $p$ contains all $B_{n}$, for $n$ sufficiently large.

Remark 2.121 Explain the meaning of $B_{n}$ that converges to $p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. The area of $B \subset S$ is given by

$$
A=\iint_{R}\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right| d u d v
$$

where $\mathbf{x}(u, v): R \subset U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S$ is a parametrization near $p \in S$ with $\mathbf{x}(R)=B$. Also the area of the region $N(B)$ in $S^{2}$ is given by

$$
A^{\prime}=\iint_{R}\left|N_{u} \wedge N_{v}\right| d u d v
$$

since $N(u, v)=N(\mathbf{x}(u, v)): R \subset U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$ is a parametrization near $N(p) \in S^{2}$ with $N(R)=$ $N(B)$. By the identity (see Lemma 2.78)

$$
\left|N_{u} \wedge N_{v}\right|=\left|d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{u}\right) \wedge d N\left(\mathbf{x}_{v}\right)\right|=|K|\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|
$$

we have

$$
A_{s i g n}^{\prime}=\iint_{R} K\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right| d u d v
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{A \rightarrow 0} \frac{A_{\text {sign }}^{\prime}}{A}=\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{R} \iint_{R} K\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right| d u d v}{\frac{1}{R} \iint_{R}\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right| d u d v}=\frac{K(p)\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|(p)}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{u} \wedge \mathbf{x}_{v}\right|(p)}=K(p)
$$

Remark 2.122 We can compare the above lemma with the curve case. Recall that for a regular parametrized curve $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ we have

$$
\text { signed curvature }=k(p)=\frac{d \theta}{d s}(p)=\lim _{\triangle s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \theta}{\triangle s}, \quad \theta \text { is the tangent angle, }
$$

where $\triangle \theta$ is the signed length on the unit circle $S^{1}$ of the image of $\triangle s$ under the map of the unit tangent vector $T$ ( $\triangle \theta>0$ for counterclockwise orientation curve and $\triangle \theta<0$ for clockwise orientation curve). But it is the same as the signed length on $S^{1}$ of the image of $\triangle s$ under the map of the unit normal vector $N$.

## To Be Continued

### 2.2.6 The Hessain of a regular surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
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